

Stellantis Insurance Europe Limited

Solvency and Financial Condition Report (SFCR)

31 December 2025



Contents

A. BUSINESS AND PERFORMANCE	6
A.1 BUSINESS.....	6
A.2 UNDERWRITING PERFORMANCE.....	8
A.3 INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE	9
A.4 PERFORMANCE OF OTHER ACTIVITIES AND ANY OTHER INFORMATION	9
B. SYSTEM OF GOVERNANCE	10
B.1 GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE SYSTEM OF GOVERNANCE	10
B.2 “FIT AND PROPER” REQUIREMENTS.....	13
B.3 RISK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM INCLUDING THE ORSA	13
B.4 INTERNAL CONTROL SYSTEM.....	23
B.5 INTERNAL AUDIT FUNCTION	23
B.6 ACTUARIAL FUNCTION	24
B.7 OUTSOURCING.....	25
C. RISK PROFILE	26
C.1 UNDERWRITING RISK	27
C.2 MARKET RISK	27
C.3 CREDIT & LIQUIDITY RISK.....	27
C.4 OPERATIONAL RISK.....	27
C.5 OTHER MATERIAL RISKS	28
C.6 SUMMARY OF RISK PROFILE	33
C.7 STRESS AND SENSITIVITY TESTING	34
D. VALUATION FOR SOLVENCY PURPOSES	38
D.1 ASSETS.....	38
D.2 TECHNICAL PROVISIONS	39
D.3 OTHER LIABILITIES	40
D.4 ANY OTHER INFORMATION	41
E. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT	42
E.1 OWN FUNDS	43
E.2 SOLVENCY CAPITAL REQUIREMENT AND MINIMUM CAPITAL REQUIREMENT	44
E.3 ANY OTHER INFORMATION.....	48
APPENDIX 1: LIST OF QUANTITATIVE REPORTING TEMPLATES (QRTS) FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE	49

Executive Summary

Company's Background and Business

Stellantis Insurance Europe Limited (“the Company”) is authorised under the Insurance Business Act (Cap 403) to carry on the business of insurance restricted to risks outside Malta in the following classes of general business:

- Class 1 – Accident (I)
- Class 2 – Sickness (I)
- Class 3 – Land Vehicles (R)
- Class 7 – Goods in Transit (I)
- Class 16 - Miscellaneous Financial Loss (I)
- Class 16 - Miscellaneous Financial Loss (R)

The Company carries out its business in Europe.

System of Governance

The organisational structure of the Company is aimed at supporting the strategic objectives and operations of the Company. The Company has implemented a three lines of defence structure to ensure that the risks the Company faces are identified and that mitigation measures are taken.

The Directors of the Company who held office during the year were:

- Joaquin Capdevila** – Non-Executive Director and Chairman
- Raphael Carreau** - Chief Executive Officer/ Executive Director (appointed on 17 March 2025)
- Pedro De Elejabeitia Rodriguez** – Non-Executive Director (resigned on 1 January 2025)
- Mark Azzopardi** – Independent Non-Executive Director
- Anne Sophie Achard** – Non-Executive Director
- Emmanuel Levrat** – Non-Executive Director
- Sukhpal Singh Harrar** – Independent Non-Executive Director
- Alberto Catalan Iglesias** – Non- Executive Director
- Sophie Perrier** – Non- Executive Director (appointed on 28 July 2025)

Outsourced Activities

The Company has the following outsourcing agreements identified as key functions:

- Stellantis Insurance Manager Ltd** (Malta) – Insurance Management Agreement
- Santander Consumer Finance SA** (Spain) – Internal Audit Agreement (Alvaro Toca Cuartas – Internal Auditor)
- Willis Towers Watson** (Italy) - External Actuarial Agreement (Alessandro Pesci – Appointed Actuary)

Business Model and Financial performance

UW Results

STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

		Year ended 31 December	
	Notes	2025 EUR	2024 EUR
Insurance revenue	9	196,718,993	181,117,041
Insurance service expense	9	(145,134,793)	(119,617,148)
Insurance service result		51,584,200	61,499,893
Investment return	14	3,856,633	3,709,644
Finance income from insurance contracts issued	15	(227,681)	2,006,377
Net insurance and investment result		55,213,152	67,215,914
Other operating expenses	16	(1,869,856)	(17,075,704)
Profit before tax		53,343,296	50,140,210
Income tax charge	17	(18,670,154)	(17,549,073)
Profit for the year – total comprehensive profit		34,673,142	32,591,137

The Company registered a profit before tax of EUR 53,343,296 during the financial year ended 31 December 2025, compared to the EUR 50,140,210 registered in the previous financial year with post-tax profits of EUR 34,673,142, compared to the EUR 32,591,137 in the previous financial year.

The insurance service result decreased from EUR 61,499,893 in 2024 to EUR 51,584,200 in 2025, a decrease of 16%. This was due to a higher increase in insurance service expense when compared to the increase in insurance revenue.

Valuation for Solvency Purposes

Solvency	Capital requirement	Eligible capital	Solvency ratio	MCR as % SCR
SCR	88,606,942	115,729,345	131%	27%
MCR	24,068,519	115,729,345	481%	

The Company's SCR cover as at 31st December 2025 stood at 131%.

Capital Management

Stellantis Insurance Europe Limited does not foresee any instances of non-compliance with the MCR or SCR which could potentially create a cause for concern. Management constantly monitors the SCR and MCR level on a monthly basis and have procedures in place that will immediately highlight the possibility of a drop below the 110% in SCR coverage.

A. Business and Performance

A.1 Business

Stellantis Insurance Europe Limited ('the company') is a private limited liability company registered in Malta.

The Company is regulated by the Malta Financial Services Authority. It is a joint venture between Stellantis Services Limited and Santander Insurance S.L. Stellantis Services Limited forms part of Stellantis N.V. which is domiciled in the Netherlands whereas Santander Insurance S.L. forms part of Banco Santander S.A. domiciled in Spain.

In January 2021 PSA Group and Italian-American conglomerate Fiat Chrysler Automobiles merged to form Stellantis N.V. which is now a multinational automotive manufacturing corporation formed on the basis of a 50-50 cross-border merger. Stellantis N.V. is headquartered in Amsterdam, Netherlands. Stellantis engages in automotive equipment and finance business in Europe, Eurasia, China and South-Asia, India Pacific, Latin America, the Middle East, Africa and America. Its automotive segment designs, manufactures and sells passenger cars and light commercial vehicles under the Stellantis Brands.

On 30 December 2024, Santander Insurance S.L. domiciled in Spain with registration no. B44941557 replaced Santander Consumer Finance S.A. as one of the shareholders of the Company.

The MFSA is responsible for the supervisory authority and financial supervision of the undertaking as well as that of the Malta Stellantis Group.

The MFSA contact details are as follows:

Mr Ray Schembri
Head
Insurance and Pensions Supervision

Malta Financial Services Authority

Triq l-Imdina, Zone 1
Central Business District
Birkirkara, CBD 1010
Phone: +356 2144 1155
Direct: +356 2548 5238
Email: Ray.Schembri@mfsa.mt
Web: <https://www.mfsa.mt/>

The independent auditors of the Company are:

Deloitte Audit Limited
Deloitte Place,
Triq L-Intornjatur,
Zone 3, Central Business District,
Birkirkara CBD 3050, Malta
Office: +356 2343 2423
Web: <https://www.deloitte.com/mt/en.html>

Share Capital

The authorised share capital of the Company is €60,000,000 divided into 300,000 Ordinary A Shares of €100 each and 300,000 Ordinary B Shares of €100 each.

The issued share capital of the Company is €2,700,000 divided into 13,500 Ordinary A Shares of €100 each and 13,500 Ordinary B Shares of €100 each fully paid up and subscribed to by two Shareholders; Stellantis Services Limited and Santander Insurance S.L.

Capital Contribution

Stellantis Services Ltd and Santander Insurance S.L., in their capacity as the parent undertakings of Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd, made a further investment in the Company by making a capital contribution issued partly in cash for €44,300,000 and partly through a conversion of dividend payable for €48,511,862 for a total amount of €92,811,862.


Shareholders

Stellantis Services Limited, 53 MIB House Abate Rigord Street, Ta’ Xbiex XBX 1122, Malta (Registration No. C 43459) subscribed to 13,500 Ordinary Shares Class “A”.

Santander Insurance S.L., Ciudad Grupo Santander, Avenida de Cantabria s/n, Boadilla del Monte, 28660 Madrid Spain (Registration No. B44941557) subscribed to 13,500 Ordinary Shares, Class “B”.

The Company is a joint venture between Stellantis Services Limited and Santander Insurance S.L. Stellantis Services Limited forms part of Stellantis N.V. domiciled in the Netherlands whereas Santander Insurance S.L. forms part of Banco Santander S.A. domiciled in Spain.

Group Family Tree



Insurance Licence

The Company is authorised under the Insurance Business Act (Cap 403) to carry on the business of insurance restricted to risks outside Malta in the following classes of general business:

- Class 1 – Accident (I)
- Class 2 – Sickness (I)
- Class 3 – Land Vehicles (R)
- Class 7 – Goods in Transit (I)
- Class 16 - Miscellaneous Financial Loss (I)
- Class 16 - Miscellaneous Financial Loss (R)

The company carries out its business in Europe.

A.2 Underwriting Performance**STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

	Notes	Year ended 31 December	
		2025 EUR	2024 EUR
Insurance revenue	9	196,718,993	181,117,041
Insurance service expense	9	(145,134,793)	(119,617,148)
Insurance service result		51,584,200	61,499,893
Investment return	14	3,856,633	3,709,644
Finance income from insurance contracts issued	15	(227,681)	2,006,377
Net insurance and investment result		55,213,152	67,215,914
Other operating expenses	16	(1,869,856)	(17,075,704)
Profit before tax		53,343,296	50,140,210
Income tax charge	17	(18,670,154)	(17,549,073)
Profit for the year – total comprehensive profit		34,673,142	32,591,137

The Company registered a profit before tax of EUR 53,343,296 during the financial year ended 31 December 2025, compared to the EUR 50,140,210 registered in the previous financial year with post-tax profits of EUR 34,673,142, compared to the EUR 32,591,137 in the previous financial year.

The insurance service result decreased from EUR 61,499,893 in 2024 to EUR 51,584,200 in 2025, a decrease of 16%. This was due to a higher increase in insurance service expense when compared to the increase in insurance revenue.

A.3 Investment Performance

The bonds are classified and measured under Amortised Cost as per IFRS 9. They are classified as such since the objective of the business model is to hold the asset to maturity to collect the contractual cash flows; and the contractual terms of the asset represent cash flows that are 'solely payments of principal and interest' ('SPPI') on principal amounts outstanding. Similar to IAS 39, the interest income is recognised within the Statement of Comprehensive Income at the effective rate.

During 2025 the Company did not invest in new bonds and as at 31st December 2025 had a portfolio consisting of 105 bonds; 20 government and 85 corporate. The bonds mature over a period of 3 years till 2028 and are all denominated in EUR currency. The income arising from bonds held by the Company consists of interest income and amortisation of the bonds which as at 31st December 2025 amounted to €3,416,831.

During 2025, the Company continued investing in short-term deposits with Société Generale S.A. and BNP Paribas S.A.. The term deposits were for 1- and 2-month terms and were automatically renewed throughout the year. Total interest received from the term deposits during the year amounted to €361,094. The Company also generated interest income amounting to €78,709 from the cash held at the banks.


There were no other items of investment income received by the Company during 2025.

A.4 Performance of other Activities and Any Other Information

There were no other material income and expenses incurred over the reporting period compared to previous financial year worthy of disclosure.

B. System of Governance

B.1 General Information on the System of Governance



In order to assist the Company in mitigating the risks underlying the strategic objectives, the following committees and functions are in place:

Management Committees

a) First line of Defence

Investment Committee

This is made up of three Directors and the Chief Financial Officer. The “prudent person principle” is the guiding principle behind all investment decisions and the Company’s investment guidelines. This Committee has no delegated authority, and the recommendations proposed by the Investment Committee will need Board approval.

Technical Committee

The Technical Committee is chaired by the Technical Director and assists the Board in the oversight of the Company’s key underwriting objectives, strategies and policies. The Technical Committee is responsible for approving the Company’s underwriting strategies, policies, procedures, authorities and limit profiles and for reviewing the performance of the Company’s underwriting portfolios.

Pricing Committee

The Pricing Committee is chaired by the Chief Technical Officer and assists the Technical Committee in the oversight of the right application of the pricing strategy by (i) validating standard and small/medium volumes products and (ii) deep-diving and preparing the later validation by the Technical Committee of specific and/or complex pricing or technical topics.

Underwriting Committee

The Underwriting Committee is chaired by the Chief Technical Officer and shall assist the Technical Committee in overseeing the right application of the underwriting policy and in managing price discounts and underwriting referrals for complex and significant underwriting cases.

Claims and Reserving Committee

The claims and reserving Committee is chaired by the Chief Technical Officer and shall assist the Technical Committee in overseeing the level of reserves that the company holds in order to monitor and ensure that the appropriate level of reserves are being held.

b) Second line of Defence

Actuarial Function

The Actuarial function is split between the Technical Department and an Appointed Actuary, both carrying out separate tasks and taking different decisions. The Appointed Actuary is external to the Company and the decisions taken aim to reduce the risk of a potential conflict of interest as well as ensure that the four-eye principle is in place. The Technical Department carries out the Technical Provisions calculations on a monthly basis, analyses the pricing

of new products, reviews the products' performance on a monthly basis and is also part of the Technical Committee. The Board of Directors has given delegated authority to the Technical Committee and underwriting function.

Compliance Officer and the Compliance and Control Committee

The Compliance Officer reports directly to the CEO and the Board. The Compliance and Control Committee is chaired by the Chief Risk, Legal & Compliance Officer and falls under the second line of defence and it assists the Board in the oversight of the Company's general corporate governance, compliance and control. The Board of Directors has given delegated authority to this Committee.

Risk Management Function and Risk Management Committee

This is considered highly critical in the operations of the Company, in particular to the Risk Management and the ORSA Process. The Risk Committee is chaired by the Chief Risk, Legal & Compliance Officer and is given delegated authority by the Board of Directors to oversee the Company's risk management arrangements ensuring that risk appetite is appropriate and adhered to and that key risks are identified and managed appropriately. The Company has a well-developed Risk Management Framework incorporated in the Corporate Governance structure. Risks are managed, monitored, reported, mitigated and controlled through the three lines of defence.

The Solvency II Committee has been merged with the Risk Management Committee. The purpose of this Committee is to update and prepare for reporting to be done according to the Solvency II Annual Plan, and to review the three pillars of Solvency II.

c) Third line of Defence

Internal Auditor

The Internal Audit Function is outsourced to Banco Santander S.A and reports directly to the Board. The Audit topics are overseen during the Audit Committee.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is composed of three Directors, the Chief Technical Officer, Chief Finance Officer, Chief Risk, Legal & Compliance Officer, External Auditors, Internal Auditors and Appointed Actuary. The Committee meets prior to each Board meeting and serves as a central forum for oversight of the Company's financial reporting, internal control environment, audit activities and overall risk governance.

The Audit Committee reviews and discusses internal audit findings and their status, as well as external audit reports and observations, ensuring that recommendations are adequately addressed and that remediation actions are progressing appropriately. The Committee also examines financial matters, actuarial matters, and the Company's overall performance, with particular attention to areas that could materially influence the Company's financial condition or risk profile.

In addition, the Audit Committee monitors the effectiveness of internal controls, reviews operational incidents, assesses controls performance and evaluates distributor performance and any related issues. Through its work, the Audit Committee supports the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities relating to financial integrity, internal control adequacy and sound governance practices.

B.2 “Fit and Proper” requirements

Prior to the appointment of any new member to the Board an evaluation is undertaken of the fitness and probity of the proposed director. This involves examination and documentation of:

- The person’s previous experience, knowledge and professional qualifications and whether these are adequate to enable sound and prudent management of the Company.
- Proof of skill, care, diligence and compliance with the relevant standards of the area/sector he/she has worked in.
- Reputation – enquiry as to whether there are any criminal or financial antecedents or past experience with the Financial Regulator which may lead the Board to believe that the person may not discharge his/her duties in line with applicable rules, regulations or guidelines.

The Compliance Officer will notify the Malta Financial Services Authority (‘MFSA’) of the identity of the Board of Directors or any amendment to its composition along with all information needed to assess whether they are fit and proper.

B.3 Risk Management System including the ORSA

The Company’s Risk Management Framework shall play a role in strategy and business planning with participation of the Risk Management Functions in strategy and business planning being a key critical element for implementing the Company’s risk strategy.

The Risk Management Framework provides decision makers with information about important variables that can affect the amount of capital required to support the business plan, the amount of capital generated and recycled as a result of the components and ultimate execution of the business plan, and the economic return of capital expected to be generated by the business plan. The Finance, Investment and Actuarial Functions play a key role in supporting and implementing the Risk Management Framework in this regard.


More particularly the Risk Management Framework monitors solvency needs assessment as identified in the ORSA to avoid any significant deviation with the overall risk tolerance limits and regulatory capital requirements. Throughout the Risk Valuations and ORSA process, it is also ensuring the viability of the overall business model under stressed conditions on a short, middle and long-term perspective.

Following the identification of the various risks, each risk is then categorised. Discussions and workshops are held with risk owners in order to generate a scenario that enables to assign a severity score to each risk. In addition, the frequency of each risk is also assigned during these discussions. The following sections illustrate in more detail the process that is followed to arrive at the valuation of the risks:

1. Risk identification and description
2. Valuation method used
3. Results of valuation

The Company adopts its Diversified Risk Profile, which can be defined as a measure of losses based on various items of historical data such as total losses, number of losses, average loss size, payment patterns and correlations between different risk categories.

The diversified risk profile is based on the principle that not all risks can materialise at once and therefore, it gives a more realistic risk profile. Furthermore, it provides the management of the Company the chance to compare the risk profile with the Company's set threshold. The Risk Profile will in turn provide a better indication of what the Company expects the average loss in monetary terms to be.



Objectives and Minimum Requirements in assessing Solvency needs

The objective of the risk valuations and ORSA process is to give Stellantis Insurance Europe a global view of its risks within a time horizon of 3 years. This process aims to help the strategic decision-making process at a top management level (Board of Directors, ExCom), and to improve the mitigation and control of the existing risks. The risk valuations and the ORSA are performed together within the same process. The risk valuations are the base of the risk management system; it allows the risk identification, assessment, monitoring and reporting, as well as the improvement of the risk mitigation techniques. The ORSA is an annual assessment of Stellantis Insurance Europe's risks and solvency needs, taking into account its risk tolerance and the current risk mitigation techniques.

Minimum Requirements in assessing Solvency needs

The assessment of the overall solvency needs is expected to:

1. Reflect the material risks arising from all assets and liabilities including intra-group and off-Balance Sheet arrangements;
2. Reflect the Company's management practices, systems and controls including the use of risk mitigation techniques;
3. Assess the quality of processes and inputs, in particular the adequacy of the Company's system of governance, taking into consideration risks that may arise from inadequacies or deficiencies;

4. Connect business planning to solvency needs;
5. Include explicit identification of possible future scenarios;
6. Address potential external stress; and
7. Use a valuation basis that is consistent throughout the overall solvency needs assessment.

Strategy and business planning

The strategic direction of the business will be set within the risk profile of the Company and considers the implication upon capital allocation. Stellantis Insurance Europe operates in a capital-constrained environment and capital rationing through the planning process is a critical mechanism for ensuring that scarce resources are deployed most effectively with due consideration given to the impact of short term and long term risks associated with executing the Company's business plan. Participation of the Risk Management Function in strategy and business planning is a key critical element for implementing the Company's risk strategy.

The Company's strategic plan should serve as a basis for the calculation of the ORSA. The 3-year financial projections are used to project the Company's technical and non-technical results, asset-liability position and the Company's projected capital levels for the coming 36 months.

In line with Guideline 17, the Company is now taking into account the results of the ORSA and the insights gained throughout the process of this assessment in its capital management and business planning. The following are the key conclusions from the ORSA exercise:

- A deviation between the ORSA Capital requirement and the SCR; this is due to the re-quantification of Non-Life Underwriting Risk for both premium and reserve risk and catastrophe risk, for which an independent approach is taken under the ORSA due to the fact that the Standard Formula does not capture the nature of the underlying risk of the business.
- The ORSA capital requirement includes Standard Formula risks for Market and Default risk, an independent valuation for the Underwriting risk as well as additional risks under the Strategic, Operational and Compliance risk groups.
- The ORSA also includes the quantification of additional risks, namely, Strategic and Compliance risks, for which an independent assessment has been made. The same independent assessment has also been carried out for Operational Risk.
- The CRESTA Zone model has been used in this year's ORSA for the purpose of valuing the catastrophe risk under the non-life company.
- The potential projected dividends were considered in both the SCR and ORSA when determining the eligible capital and solvency ratios.
- The stress tests and the reverse stress tests have been reviewed with the ORSA team and the Board of Directors. Following this review, the tests that did not result in a material impact on the Company's solvency position were removed, as they were no longer considered sufficiently meaningful for the assessment.
- The stress test and reverse stress tests results are ultimately reviewed and linked to the risk appetite.
- The basis for the valuation of the Strategic, Compliance and Operational risks are net of dividends, since the company policy is to distribute dividends at the end of the year subject to the company meeting the solvency requirement regulations and risk appetite set by the Board. The Standard Formula risks are valued on a capital base net of dividends. The stress tests are carried out gross of dividend to assess whether the company will generate enough own funds to absorb these stresses.

- Following the previous ORSA reports, Climate change scenarios are being discussed on a yearly basis and have been included in the Risk Valuation process. This year additional analysis has been included with focus being made on ensuring that the scenarios chosen target different potential market situations.
- Social and Governance risks have also been analysed and included in the risk register of the Company. Greenwashing and Biodiversity risks have also been assessed since 2024.
- Emerging risks relating to Inflation, Geo-political risk and also risk of economic crisis have been taken into account and included in the risk valuation process.
- The risk correlation matrix has been fully reviewed in 2025 with the Actuarial Function Holder (Willis Towers Watson). The review resulted in a number of targeted updates aimed at better aligning the matrix with market practices and the Company's evolving risk profile. The base correlation values have been adjusted to reflect commonly adopted industry ranges, as well as applying symmetric values for the "moderate" correlation factors. The analysis has also identified differences in correlation behaviour between Non-Life and Life undertakings; for simplification, a harmonised range of values was proposed. In addition, correlations between Operational and Cyber risk were increased to reflect the growing interdependency between these areas. Overall, the 2025 updates represent a refinement rather than a material change, ensuring the matrix remains appropriate, consistent, and aligned with current best practice.
- Measures supporting alignment with DORA continue to be implemented.
- Introduction of several measures in order to align with the Digital Operational Resilience Act ('DORA') regulation.
- The Loss absorbing capacity of deferred taxes section demonstrates that the amount utilised for this purpose can be recovered over the term of the Business Plan. A realistic and pessimistic scenario have been included to demonstrate the recoverability of the deferred tax.
- The risks associated with the IFRS 17 reporting process have been mapped within the Risk Register. Most of the controls have been implemented in 2025, while others remain in progress. Risk Management has issued a recommendation for the outstanding controls to be finalised by the first quarter of 2026. Additionally, the Company is currently in discussions with a consultant regarding the introduction of further controls, which will be monitored accordingly.
- A methodological update was made to the calculation of lapse risk in 2025. The lapse-risk charge is now based on the Liability for Remaining Coverage ('LRC') excluding both the risk adjustment and the Contractual Service Margin ('CSM'), aligning it more closely with the Solvency II Premium Provision used for applying the 40% lapse factor. This change corrects prior underestimation and results in a higher, more representative lapse risk capital charge.

Overall Methodology

Stellantis Insurance Europe has adopted the following key steps to comply with the ORSA guidelines issued by EIOPA:

- Independent risk identification
- Risk Valuations, where each identified risk is subjected to:
 - Risk Owners Identification
 - Inherent Risk Exposure and Evaluation
 - Risk Control and Mitigation
 - Residual Risk Exposure and Evaluation
 - Risk Assessment
 - Comparison with Standard Formula Valuations

Usage of Standard Formula or a different assessment methodology depends on whether the Standard Formula adequately reflects the Company's individual risk profile.

To ensure the overall consistency of the Solvency II approach, the Company has streamlined the risk management process and ORSA policy with the SCR calculation for;

- Classification;
- Modularity; and
- Technical specification where Standard Formula reflects the Company's specific risk.

The Standard Formula is only required for the risk classification, identification and, when relevant, the assessment. Additional risks and assessment methodologies are included in the ORSA process, so that the final results reflect the risk profile of the Company.

If, after an independent assessment of the risks, Stellantis Insurance Europe considers that the Standard Formula reflects the risks in an appropriate manner, given the size and complexity of the Company, the ORSA shall rely on the Standard Formula for the assessment of those risks.

The Standard Formula, may not appropriately assess other risks included in the SCR calculation, because the risk profile of Stellantis Insurance Europe of those particular risks may deviate significantly from the assumptions underlying the Formula. In such case, the assessment shall be made through an adjustment of the parameters of the Standard Formula.

For some other risks, the Standard Formula itself is not appropriate and an adjustment would not be enough to properly reflect the risk. For these risks a completely independent assessment or a scenario based approach is carried out. Strategic and compliance risks are not included in the SCR calculation. For these types of risk, the assessment shall be made through a scenario based approach.

Types of risks	Types of risks	Appropriateness of the Standard Formula	Assessment methodology
Risks Identified	Standard Formula risks (risk = sub module)	Appropriate	Standard Formula (SF)
		Parameters are criticised	Adjusted Formula (AF)
		Not appropriate	Scenario-based approach (SBA) or Actuarial Independent Assessment (IA)
	Additional risks	N.A.	Scenario-based approach (SBA)


Stellantis Insurance Europe considers relevant to use the 99.5% Value at Risk, as used in the SCR calculation for all Pillar 1 risks included in the Standard Formula (even those for which the parameters or calculation method will be adjusted). For additional risks not included in the SCR calculation, namely strategic and compliance risks, Stellantis Insurance Europe also uses the 99.5% Value at Risk ('VaR') to maintain a coherent VaR.

This aims at ensuring a better consideration of its specific risk profile on a sufficiently reasonable basis, approved risk tolerance limits and business strategy with regard to the current level of its SCR, as well as to continuously monitor the compliance with capital requirements.

Identification and Valuation Process

The Board adopted a top-down approach and participated in the forward-looking assessment of the own risks, including how the assessment was to be performed. The Board has challenged the results during a session held with the Risk Management team outside Board meetings.

The Risk Management team together with the Company's Key Functions have, independently from the Standard Formula, identified and assessed the risks facing the Company. Thereafter, a comparison against the Standard Formula was carried out. When the Standard Formula was deemed adequate to capture the Company's risk profile, the Risk Management team decided to use the technical specifications underlying the Standard Formula. Additional risks and assessment methodologies were included in the ORSA process, so that the final results would reflect more closely the Company's risk profile. An illustration of the process adopted has been produced below.



Critical Assessment of Pillar 1 calculation

With the support or under the supervision of the actuarial function, ad hoc experts:

- Identify the (sub) modules for which the risk profile of Stellantis Insurance Europe deviates from the assumptions underlying the SCR of the Company.
- Explain the deviations / reasons why the Standard Formula is not appropriate to assess the risk: existence of significant risk mitigation techniques or contingency measures, specific risk portfolio not taken into account in the Standard Formula, etc.
- Define the assessment methodology for those risks: the adjustment of the formula's parameters, independent actuarial assessment, or the scenario-based approach if the formula itself is not appropriate.

Scenario analysis and qualitative assessment

With the support of the other departments, during a workshop, the Risk Manager:

- Identifies potential scenarios for each Scenario Based Approach ('SBA') risk, taking into account the risk exposure, sensitivity and concentration, and the existing risk mitigation techniques.

- Realises a first qualitative assessment of all risks, based on the risk description and potential impact. Risks are classified on a scale at 3 levels:
 - High: High exposure and mitigation techniques and controls
 - Medium: High exposure with high confidence in the quality and robustness of existing mitigation techniques and controls or low exposure with mitigation techniques and controls
 - Low: Exposure with high confidence in the quality and robustness of existing mitigation techniques and controls
- Chooses one representative scenario for each risk. Unlikely or extreme scenarios are avoided.
- Describes precisely the chosen scenario and its consequences.

Scenario quantitative assessment

For Adjusted Formula ('AF') risks:

The parameters of the Standard Formula are modified accordingly with the critical assessment addressed to the Pillar 1 calculation. Any adjustment of parameters shall be thoroughly justified in the ORSA report.

For Actuarial Independent Assessment ('IA') risks:

The ORSA Team conducts an independent assessment of the risk in which a historical data set is used to quantify the potential risk under study.

For SBA risks

With the support of risk owners, the risk manager assesses the impact and the frequency of the chosen scenario (before and after taking into account the existing risk mitigation techniques and contingency measures). This assessment is based on an expert estimate and on historical losses. The frequency describes the occurrence of the risk. The impact describes the financial impact of the risk, including all costs. When available quantitative data can help to assess more precisely the risk, the detailed description of the assessment and the calculation is recorded.

Governance

The Board of Directors has the ultimate responsibility for the ORSA. It decides when to conduct an ORSA and challenges the results.

The Risk Management function is in charge of the risk valuation process and of the ORSA process. The Technical department works closely with the Appointed Actuary who will participate or review all quantitative assessments.

Other departments of Stellantis Insurance Europe and especially the members of the CODIR are involved in order to help identify and assess the risks relevant to their activities. The CODIR members are appointed as risk owners and are to provide a valuation of the various risks included in the final figure as well as monitor their risks on a quarterly basis. The stakeholders involved are the following:

- Underwriting and Reserving – Chief Technical Officer
- Investments – Chief Finance Officer
- Operational Risk – Chief Operation Officer and Chief Risk, Legal & Compliance Officer
- Strategic Risk – Marketing Director and Chief Finance Officer

- Regulatory & Compliance Risk – Head of Chief Risk, Legal & Compliance Officer
- Cyber Risk - IT Manager and Chief Risk, Legal & Compliance Officer
- Sustainability and Emerging Risk - Chief Risk, Legal & Compliance Officer
- Solvency Capital Projections – Solvency & Capital Manager

Definition of risk tolerance

The Board of Directors:

- Defines a qualitative overall risk appetite, based on the strategy of Stellantis Insurance Europe
- Defines a quantitative overall risk appetite, based on the strategy of Stellantis Insurance Europe

Risk owners:

Define an indicator for each of their risks with a threshold that must not be exceeded. The threshold represents the risk tolerance and is aligned with the qualitative and quantitative risk appetite defined by the Board of Directors.

Risk identification and description

With the support of the other departments, the risk manager:

- Identifies the various operational risks
- Identifies the various strategic risks
- Identifies the various compliance risks
- Identifies the various cyber risks
- Identifies the various Sustainability and Emerging risks
- Realises a qualitative description of each risk (SCR risks + additional risks)
- Assigns a risk owner to that particular risk
- Assesses the risk criticality in terms of Frequency and Severity
- Describes the risk mitigation techniques and contingency measures that contribute to reduce the frequency or the impact of the risk (investment limits, wording, reinsurance, regular controls, reconciliations, monitoring of ratios, committees, contingency plans, IT back-ups, etc.).

All of the above is recorded within the Company's risk register; this therefore includes a record of the individual risk analysis (quantified and non-quantified risks) including a description and explanation of the risks identified. The risk register is a live document which is updated as often as necessary, but in any case, at least annually. A clear audit trail is maintained between versions, in order to capture the development of the individual risks.

Frequency vs Severity

Unless otherwise stated, for all risks the Company established a Frequency and Severity matrix to determine what is significant for the Company's business strategy.

Inherent and Residual Risk Basis and Value at Risk

The Board has considered each individual risk on a gross and net basis. In this respect, the risk severity and frequency scoring was evaluated before and after mitigating controls were taken into account. The risks evaluated before

applying any mitigating controls are the Inherent Risks, while those after taking controls into account are the Residual Risks.

This methodology was used for each identified risk and was conducted through a discussion at management level. This was done so that the Board of Directors is made aware of the importance of the effect of the mitigating controls for each significant risk identified.

From risk assessment to capital allocation

The risk assessment performed during the Risk Management process and ORSA process provided a realistic view of Stellantis Insurance Europe's risk profile. Any decision to change capital allocation and/or managing actions shall be based on this risk profile.

Below are the key questions to include in the decision-making process:

- Do we have sufficient capital to cover any risk?
- What are the quality and composition of these Own Funds?
- Can we reduce the risks by implementing specific managing actions?
- Are we complying with all approved risk tolerance limits, including qualitative ones?

Risk Treatment and ORSA Approval

After the assessment, the ORSA Team:

- Compares the newly obtained value at risk to the capital allocated to each risk under Pillar 1.
- Compares the overall VaR to the SCR and technical provisions.
- Highlights and explains the potential differences that have been identified.
- Reports to the Board the first results of the ORSA.

The Board of Directors:

- Challenge the results of the ORSA during the next Board meeting or during a separate meeting. The main conclusions of this challenging process are recorded and included afterwards in the ORSA report.
- Validate the results of the ORSA.
- When significant differences have been identified between the value at risk and the SCR and/or the risk tolerance, Directors take a decision regarding the risk management. Either:
 - Cover the risk with capital, or
 - Increase the risk mitigation techniques or contingency measures.

Monitoring and improvement of the mitigation techniques

For each risk, risk owners:

- Monitor risks on a continuous basis, based on key risk indicators, existing procedures and their general knowledge of the business.
- Propose new risk mitigation techniques or contingency measures, if necessary.
- Implement the new risk mitigation techniques and contingency measures, especially the ones that have been decided by the Board of Directors.
- Report on a quarterly basis to the risk manager the risk level; based on key risk indicators, the implementation of Fundamental Tracking Points for which they are held responsible, and the advancement of risk mitigation techniques improvement, when relevant.

The risk manager:

- Gathers the data from risk owners on a quarterly basis, including:
 - Key risk indicators ('KRI')
 - Corrective actions undertaken notably in case of significant deviation in KRI
 - Implementation of risk controls recorded as fundamental tracking points
 - Any other relevant issue regarding risks within the Company

All quarterly reports shall be communicated to the Board. Reports to the Board of Directors of any risk exceeding the approved risk tolerance limits are to be made.

Stress Test and Reverse Stress testing

In accordance with the ORSA guidelines, the Company has applied the identified material risks to a defined range of stress tests in order to provide an adequate basis for the assessment of the overall solvency needs. In each case, a worst-case scenario was employed when assessing the risk. The stress tests carried out in this ORSA have been based on hypothetical situations.

A stress test is a projection of the financial condition of a Company under a specific set of severely adverse conditions that may be the result of several risk factors over several time periods with severe consequences that can extend over months or years. Alternatively, it might be just one risk factor and be short in duration. When considering various stress tests, the principle adopted by the Board is that the effect of the stress test has to be considered in terms of its effect on both the Company's profitability and equity.

Reverse stress testing also included in the ORSA aims at answering the following question:

Which scenario or combination of scenarios would bring the Company below the target risk appetite limit?

Finally, a combined stress test is also included where a number of different scenarios are considered together in order to assess the solvency of the Company in the event these occur together.

ORSA Report

The ORSA Report aims to present all key principles supporting the ORSA methodology, ORSA results, as well as consecutive recommendations regarding capital allocation, technical provisions, risk mitigation techniques and/or other managing actions. The ORSA report should be submitted to the regulator within 2 weeks from Board approval.

The risk valuations and ORSA process is performed on an annual basis, after the SCR calculation is conducted.

The risk monitoring is performed on an on-going basis and is annually reviewed and updated during the ORSA.

Under the following circumstances, an exceptional ORSA shall be performed (in addition to the annual review):

- Significant changes in the Stellantis Insurance Europe activities: introduction of a completely new line of business, development of activities in a new country
- Significant changes in the group Stellantis/Santander organisation, which impact day-to-day activities of Stellantis Insurance Europe

- Significant changes in the economic or compliance environment, that may affect the business model or the financial stability of Stellantis Insurance Europe

The ORSA process is carried out on a yearly basis following the completion of the financial projections. Currently, the solvency needs are determined using the Standard Formula as a basis, since the capital required is considered to be extremely prudent, given that the Company's risk profile is considered to be low. The additional risks (operational, strategic and compliance) have been quantified on an extremely prudential basis.

The SCR projections are monitored through a set of monthly capital management indicators so as to ensure that the capital held remains sufficient.

B.4 Internal Control System

The Board recognises its responsibility for setting the tone of the business and influencing the control consciousness of its key functionaries.

Sarah Ellul Soler was appointed as the Internal Controller and monitors Stellantis Insurance Europe's internal control system. The controls environment is the foundation for all other components of internal controls, providing discipline and structure.

The Internal Control system is made up of a number of second level control reviews linked to each risk, procedure and policy adherence monitoring, compliance with applicable laws and regulations, and monitoring of the adequacy of processes for the business' activity. Sarah Ellul Soler ensures to monitor and test the above controls individually and ensures adherence on a regular basis and reports to the Board on a quarterly basis or more frequently if necessary.

The key components underlying the Internal Control Policy of the Company are:

1. Controls environment;
2. Risk assessment;
3. Controls activities; and
4. Information and communication.

B.5 Internal Audit Function

The Internal Audit function of the Company is outsourced to Santander Consumer Finance SA, under the direction of Alvaro Toca Cuartas who is responsible for reviewing and auditing Stellantis Insurance Europe.

The Internal Audit function serves as a third line of defence and is not involved in the day-to-day operation of the Company. Although the Board can suggest amendments to the internal audit plan, the Internal Audit has the right to take on board such amendments. Moreover, the function has unlimited access to all the information requested to carry out its audit in an independent manner.

B.6 Actuarial Function

The Actuarial Function is represented by the Internal Technical department within the Company and the External Actuarial Function, who is the Appointed Actuary of the Company and is outsourced. There is a clear distinction between the roles of the Technical Department and External Actuarial Function. The role of each is described below:

Internal Technical Department

The Technical Department's role within Stellantis Insurance Europe is as follows:

- Represents the Company's actuarial function.
- Leads the communication process with our Appointed Actuary.
- Conducts analysis on the Company's technical provisions and methodologies used.
- Conducts the pricing of new products.
- Involved in the ORSA calculations.
- Conducts the calculation of the Best Estimate on a quarterly basis.

Main Responsibilities:

1. Technical Provisions assessment
 - Reviews the calculations of the IFRS17 tool and expresses an opinion on the monthly closing results in the Technical Committee.
 - Carries out assessments on the Ultimate Loss Ratio ("ULR") models used.
 - Compares the Best Estimate results between reporting dates.
 - Conducts the calculations for the Ultimate Loss Ratios which are proposed during the budget and the PMT.
2. The ORSA
 - Reviews the risk group calculations under the ORSA.
 - Reviews the ORSA report.

External Actuarial Function

- Following an in-depth study, the Actuarial Function Holder expresses an opinion on the Technical Provisions held by the Company at year end
- Reports to the Board on a yearly basis.
- Reviews and makes recommendations on fundamental risk management policies namely:
 - ▶ Actuarial Policy
 - ▶ Underwriting Policy
 - ▶ Capital Management policy
- Carries out a review of the annual SCR and ORSA results.

B.7 Outsourcing

The Outsourcing Policy applies to all Material Outsourcing Arrangements entered into by Stellantis Insurance Europe. An outsourcing arrangement is defined as an arrangement whereby a specified business process, service or activity is provided by a third party provider rather than being performed in-house. An outsourcing arrangement is deemed to be material for these purposes if it is either critical or important to the conduct of the business.

For the purposes of the Outsourcing Policy an arrangement is likely to be deemed critical or important to the conduct of the business if a defect or failure in its performance would:

- materially and adversely impact the quality of the system of governance;
- unduly increase operational risk or significantly reduce control assurance (e.g. if the service is a key mitigating control);
- impair the ability to comply with any relevant legal or regulatory requirements or the ability of regulators to monitor the Company; and
- undermine the soundness or continuity of Key functions, services and activities that are core to the business and delivery of services to policy holders/customers.

This Policy does not apply in respect of individuals or firms retained under consulting, professional advisory services or temporary/agency support staff arrangements, where the individuals concerned are directly supervised by Board Member or other manager employed by the Group.

List of current material outsourcing arrangements:

- Stellantis Insurance Manager Ltd – Insurance Management Agreement – Domiciled in Malta
- Santander Consumer Finance S.A. – Internal Audit Agreement (Alvaro Toca Cuartas - Appointed Internal Auditor) – Domiciled in Spain
- Willis Towers Watson - External Actuarial Agreement (Alessandro Pesci - Appointed Actuary from 1 January 2025 – Domiciled in Italy)
- Santander Asset Management (Spain) – Portfolio Investment Management Agreement
- Stellantis Bank S.A. Niederlassung Österreich– Distribution Agreement - Domiciled in Austria (official distributors up to April 2023)
- Stellantis Financial Services Belux - Distribution Agreement - Domiciled in Belgium
- Credipar S.A. Stellantis Finance and Services - Distribution Agreement - Domiciled in France
- Stellantis Bank S.A. Niederlassung Deutschland - Distribution Agreement - Domiciled in Germany (official distributors up to April 2023)
- Stellantis Financial Services Italia S.p.A. - Distribution Agreement - Domiciled in Italy
- Stellantis Financial Services Polska Sp. z o.o./ Stellantis Consumer Financial Services Polska Sp. z o.o. - Distribution Agreement - Domiciled in Poland
- Santander Consumer Finance S.A. - Distribution Agreement - Domiciled in Portugal
- Stellantis Financial Services Spain E.F.C. S.A. - Distribution Agreement - Domiciled in Spain

C. Risk Profile

From 2020 onwards, the Company started considering its Diversified Risk Profile instead of the simple average calculation. The diversified risk profile calculation is based on the principle that not all risks can materialise at once and therefore, it provides a more realistic view of the Company's risk profile. The Diversified Risk Profile of the Company can be defined as a measure of losses based on various items of historical data such as total losses, number of losses, average loss size, payment patterns and correlations between different risk categories. Furthermore, this provides the management of the Company the chance to compare the risk profile with the Company's set threshold and will provide a better indication of what the Company expects the average loss in monetary terms to be.

Taking the final diversified residual risks on the Company's risk register, the diversified residual risk gives a Severity Index of 6.22 which means a 'Marginal' operational impact on the business. Therefore, the overall risk profile of the Company would be considered Low Risk, based on the Company's severity parameters. The Board agrees that the assessed risk profile of the Company is in line with its expectations due to the fact that:

- Stellantis Insurance Europe is a third-party insurer that supports the parent company in improving customer and brand loyalty. Treating customers fairly is a key principle.
- The Company does not face Concentration risk which might lead to Catastrophe risks. This stems from the fact that it is highly unlikely that there would be concentration of vehicles at one point in time. Moreover, the Company operates in various EEA countries therefore spreading its risk exposure.
- Historical loss history has always been favourable and any adverse movements are monitored and corrective action taken immediately.
- The Company engages the right level of expertise and officers to manage its business.
- Since it is owned by regulated entities, governance and adherence to regulation ranks high on the groups' risk appetite.

The table below illustrates the composition of the SCR and ORSA capital requirements for Year 1 of the Business Plan (2026) based on the Risk Modules applicable under the SCR as well as the additional risks quantified under the ORSA.

Risk Module	SCR %	ORSA %
Operational Risk	4%	9%
Market Risk	7%	19%
Counterparty Default Risk	3%	8%
Non-Life Underwriting Risk	86%	56%
Strategic Risk	0%	4%
Compliance Risk	0%	4%
TOTAL	100%	100%

The main differences between the SCR and ORSA are explained in the following pages. The assessment of the following risks was as at ORSA stage in Q4 2025.

C.1 Underwriting Risk

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd covers three lines of business ('LoB') under Solvency II which are LoB 12 – Miscellaneous Financial Loss, LoB 2 – Income Protection Insurance and LoB 6 – Marine, Aviation and Transport. Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd also accepts reinsurance under LoB 5 – Other Motor Insurance, where the Company acts as the reinsurer. The Underwriting Risk which is applicable to the Company includes Premium & Reserve, Lapse and Catastrophe risk (Natural and Other Non-life Catastrophe).

The underwriting risk capital charge under the ORSA amounts to 30,176KEUR and that under the Standard Formula 129,578KEUR. There is a significant variation between the two results due to the following reasons:

Premium/Reserve Risk – The Standard Formula assumes a loss ratio close to 100%. The Company's insurance products are relatively low risk and carry a loss ratio far lower than 100%. In fact, a completely independent approach has been used to value this risk.

Catastrophe Risk – Due to the nature of the Company's insurance products, this risk is considered to be very low. Therefore, a scenario has been chosen and quantified independently from the Standard Formula.

C.2 Market Risk

The Company is subject to market risk mainly as a result of the investments it holds being corporate and government bonds and short-term deposits. The risk sub modules which the Company is exposed to are the concentration, spread, currency and interest rate risks. Equity risk is not applicable to the Company. The Company does not hold any type 1 or 2 equity.

The Market risk evaluation under the ORSA amounts to 10,416 KEUR and has the same valuation as that under the Standard Formula since the methodology and parameters used are considered to be representative of the nature of investments held.

C.3 Credit & Liquidity Risk

The Company is subject to both type 1 and 2 counterparty default risk/ credit risk. The cash held at the banks and the receivables from reinsurance operations are subject to Type 1 credit risk whereas the insurance receivables are subject to Type 2 credit risk.

The credit risk evaluation under the ORSA amounts to 4,054 KEUR and has the same valuation as that under the Standard Formula since the methodology and parameters used are considered to be satisfactory.

Liquidity risk is not covered by the Standard Formula and not quantified under the ORSA.

C.4 Operational Risk

Operational risk is calculated under the Standard Formula and is driven by the activity size of the Company. It is based on a combination of Earned Premium and Technical Provisions. This risk is the consequence of inadequate or failed internal processes, personnel or systems, or external events, unless the Company is well diversified and managed.

The operational risk capital charge under the ORSA amounts to 5,036KEUR and that under the Standard Formula 6,389KEUR. The valuation under the Standard Formula does not correctly quantify the risks the Company faces; various operational risks that are listed and monitored in the Company's risk register have been quantified by taking a specific scenario; all risks have been simulated to obtain a capital charge for operational risk that is representative of the business and that also takes the controls in place into account.

C.5 Other Material Risks

The Company is also focusing on two new risk categories being Cyber security and Sustainability.

Following the COVID-19 pandemic together with the increased use of technology, the risk of cyber-attacks increased drastically, which led to the inclusion of Cyber risk as one of the Company's risk categories in the risk register.

Another set of risks on which the Company is focusing are Sustainability risks. This is becoming a very important topic worldwide with regulators starting to provide more attention to the topic. As a result, the Company is working on analysing the impact of climate change from a risk management perspective.

Cyber Security Risk

Cyber Security risk is the probability of exposure or loss resulting from a cyber-attack or data breach on the organization. It is the risk of financial loss, disruption or damage to the reputation of an organization resulting from the failure of its information technology security systems. The related risks and controls identified are in relation to the following:

- Information and data security roles and responsibilities, including the designation of the Chief Information Security officer;
- Privileged access management;
- Sensitive data management;
- Threats management;
- Security education and training;
- Ongoing monitoring;
- Risk assessment, the frequency and extent of which should be determined by the Entity;
- Maintenance of audit trails to detect and respond to Cybersecurity events;
- An incident response and recovery plan;
- A business continuity plan; and
- A security policy for third party service providers

A specific stress test targeting Cyber risk has been included in the 2025 ORSA report.

In 2025, the company is subject to compliance with the Digital Operational Resilience Act ('DORA') regulation. The company has been working on the following topics in order to ensure adherence with the regulation:

- ICT risk management processes
- Third Party risk management
- ICT Incidents classification and management
- ICT Testing

Sustainability and Emerging risks

The impact of Sustainability and Emerging risks has been fully incorporated into the ORSA process, further to the previous ORSA reports. A comprehensive exercise has been undertaken in relation to Climate change risks which is now being reviewed during the risk valuation process. In addition, Social and Governance risks have also been included in the analysis to have the full view in relation to Sustainability risks. Sustainability risks, which are commonly known as ESG (Environmental, Social and Governance) risks are defined under the Regulation (EU) 2019/2088, as: *'Environmental, social or governance events or conditions that, if in occurrence, could cause an actual or a potential negative impact on the value of the investment or on the value of the liability.'* The Company also considers the impact of the disruption on its operations arising out of ESG risks.

The following table is a summary showing how Sustainable risks impact the Company. More detail is being provided further below.

Sustainability Risk Impact Summary			
Sustainability Risk Type	Risk Category	Impact	Testing
Climate Change (Environment)	Underwriting Risk	Yes	Tested through quantitative scenario and stress testing
	Market Risk	Yes	Tested through quantitative scenario and stress testing
	Counterparty Risk	Minimal	Tested through stress testing
Social	Operational/ Reputational Risk	Yes	Reflected into our existing Operational and Compliance risks (SOC Capital Requirement)
Governance	Operational/ Reputational Risk	Yes	Reflected into our existing Operational and Compliance risks (SOC Capital Requirement)

Climate Change risk

Currently, climate change is a very important topic worldwide and it is being given its due importance. The consultation paper issued by EIOPA in relation to the supervision of the use of climate change risk scenarios in ORSA issued in 2021, triggered an immediate process that has been set up in order to focus and give priority to this topic.

The first step of the analysis was to identify the risks which impact the Company. Annex 3 from the EIOPA consultation paper 'Opinion on the supervision of the use of climate change scenarios in ORSA' has been used as the basis for the risk identification phase. A number of internal workshops have been set and the following action points for each risk category have been determined:

Underwriting Risk – A separate workshop has been conducted to discuss the risks with the Technical team. This has been finalised and the risks have been identified. Following the risk identification phase a number of quantitative scenarios have been included in the ORSA report in order to evaluate the impact in relation to climate change risks.

Market Risk – An analysis relating to the investments held by the Company has been carried out by the investments team to gather further information on the current risk exposure. In addition, Santander Asset Management are providing the Company with a report on a quarterly basis detailing different ESG related metrics, such as: if the portfolio is aligned with the 2-degree Paris agreement and ESG scorings. A quantitative scenario has been included in relation to this risk

Credit/Counterparty Risk – This risk lies mainly on the risk exposure of the Banks the Company uses. An analysis was carried out using the 2022 public information with the aim to understand if climate change is being considered by the banks. Following the analysis and based on the fact that regulation for banks is also taking into account climate change, it was concluded that this risk is minimal to the Company.

Operational/Strategic/Reputational Risk – The Company is mainly dependent on the Group in relation to this risk. Following an analysis of the Corporate Social Responsibility ('CSR') report issued by Stellantis Group, the risk here is very low given that these type of risks are being taken very seriously by the Group with a lot of measures being implemented.

Social and Governance Risks

Further to the Climate change risk identification and assessment process, another analysis has been conducted on Social and Governance risks in order to have a full view of all Sustainability risks.

Social – The Social pillar is related to the Company's behaviour regarding social issues. The following are some examples:

- Product Quality
- Customer Treatment
- Employee health and safety
- Training and development
- Human rights
- Employment equality and Gender diversity
- Privacy issues

Governance – The Governance pillar refers to how a company operates internally and its corporate behaviour. The following are some examples:

- Remuneration
- Board and company diversity
- Tax strategy and accounting standards
- Bribery and corruption
- Fraud
- Ethics and values
- Transparency and anti-corruption
- Reporting and Disclosures

The sub risks identified under the social and governance risks haven been linked to existing risks on the risk register. Their impact has been taken into consideration mainly under the Compliance and Operational risks.

Emerging Risks

Given the current market situation arising from various circumstances, including the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic and the escalation of geopolitical tensions such as the Russia-Ukraine war and the on-going Middle East conflict, an emerging risk analysis has been completed. Focus has been made on Inflation Risk, Geopolitical risk, the risk arising out of an economic crisis, Greenwashing Risk and Biodiversity Risk

Inflation Risk

Inflation Risk refers to how the prices of goods and services increase more than expected or inversely and where such situation results in the same amount of money having less purchasing power. Inflation Risk is commonly referred to as Purchasing Power Risk.

Geo-Political Risk

Geopolitical risk can be defined as the risk associated with wars, terrorist acts, and tensions between states that affect the normal and peaceful course of international relations. The need to assess this risk is related to the current geo-political tensions.

Risk of Economic Crisis

Economic Crisis risk refers to the possibility that changes in macroeconomic conditions will negatively impact a company or investment. For instance, political instability or exchange rate fluctuations can impact losses or gains. Given the recent worldwide events, including the on-going geopolitical tensions such as the Russia-Ukraine war and the current Middle East conflict, it is important that this risk is analysed.

The sub risks identified for Inflation risk, Risk of economic crisis and Geopolitical risks, have all been linked to the existing risks that are found within the risk register. In addition, the stress test scenarios have been linked to these risks.

Greenwashing Risk

Greenwashing refers to the practice where an entity makes sustainability-related statements, declarations, actions, or communications that do not accurately reflect its actual sustainability profile, potentially misleading consumers, investors, or other stakeholders. This can occur through vague claims, omission of relevant information, or unsubstantiated assertions about environmental benefits. EIOPA emphasizes that such practices can erode trust in the insurance sector and undermine the transition to a sustainable economy. The following risk is closely linked to the operations carried out by Stellantis N.V. as identified below.

Stellantis' Circular Economy division, SUSTAINera, is driving initiatives to reshape consumption patterns and expand recycling efforts, increasing material recovery while minimizing environmental impact. The Circular Economy business unit plays a key role in Stellantis' ambitious goal of achieving Carbon Net Zero by 2038, as SUSTAINera helps reduce both the carbon footprint and raw material consumption.

A Life Cycle Assessment ('LCA') conducted using a methodology verified by the independent firm Sphera found that remanufactured and repaired parts can reduce raw material usage by up to 80% and lower CO₂ emissions by up to 50% compared to newly manufactured parts—based on the best-selling comparable products in Europe. To keep stakeholders informed about SUSTAINera's progress and initiatives, Stellantis launched a dedicated website in 2023.

Since January 2024, Stellantis has provided end-of-life vehicle management services through the Valorauto platform in France, Belgium, and Luxembourg. Open to both individual customers and dealers, the service covers vehicles of all brands and powertrains—electric, hybrid, and combustion—offering free collection and potential financial returns.

Valorauto operates under SUSTAINera Valorauto SAS, a joint venture between Stellantis and Galloo, a leader in vehicle recycling. Working with Authorized Treatment Facilities, the platform enables the collection, dismantling, and recovery of parts for reuse or remanufacturing, including repurposing EV batteries for energy storage. Remaining materials are recycled.

By extending product lifecycles and reintegrating recovered materials into production, this initiative supports Stellantis' Circular Economy strategy, reducing waste and raw material demand while ensuring compliance with European Extended Producer Responsibility ('EPR') regulations. These efforts align with Stellantis' 2023 CSR Report, which outlines the company's broader sustainability commitments and progress toward a circular, low-carbon economy.

As Stellantis advances its Circular Economy initiatives—such as harvesting parts from decommissioned vehicles for reuse—it is essential to mitigate potential greenwashing risks that could impact credibility, compliance, and market position.

Misrepresenting recycled components by marketing them without clear, accurate, and verifiable environmental benefits can be misleading, while falsely labelling new, non-recycled parts as environmentally friendly without proper justification constitutes greenwashing. Such practices can lead to reputational damage, regulatory scrutiny, and legal liability. Accusations of greenwashing may result in negative media coverage, consumer backlash, and loss of trust, ultimately affecting customer retention, sales, and investor confidence. Additionally, regulatory bodies such as EIOPA and the EU Green Claims Directive are strengthening sustainability disclosure requirements, with misleading claims potentially leading to fines, legal actions, and mandatory product relabelling or withdrawal. Furthermore, class action lawsuits and misrepresentation claims could result in costly legal battles, while competitors with transparent ESG initiatives may gain a market advantage. As investors and consumers increasingly demand ESG transparency, failure to ensure accurate sustainability communications could limit business growth and competitiveness.

Biodiversity Risk

Biodiversity risk is an emerging consideration in the Company's risk management framework, as the loss of biodiversity poses significant economic and operational risks. Habitat degradation, resource scarcity, and regulatory changes related to biodiversity could impact supply chains, production costs, and material availability for vehicle manufacturing. Additionally, shifts in environmental policies may influence vehicle design, emission standards, and insurance risk models. Additionally, biodiversity loss may exacerbate climate-related risks, affecting asset valuations and long-term sustainability. As part of the Company's risk assessment, the risk team monitors developments in biodiversity-related regulations, assess potential exposure in underwriting and investment activities, and explore strategies to mitigate these risks through sustainable business practices.

Analysis is being carried out on how biodiversity-related risks could affect claims patterns (e.g., through extreme weather events linked to ecosystem degradation), regulatory compliance, and long-term sustainability. The Company remains committed to monitor these risks and integrate sustainable practices within the underwriting and investment processes to mitigate potential exposures.

C.6 Summary of Risk profile

To ensure the overall consistency of the Solvency II approach, Stellantis Insurance Europe's risk valuations and ORSA process is based on the Standard Formula for the Market and Underwriting risks, whilst case scenario assessments are used for the Operational, Compliance and Strategic Risks. Stellantis Insurance Europe has independently assessed the risks facing its business and compared them against the Standard Formula. Where the Standard Formula is adequate to capture most of its risk profile the Board decided to use the technical specifications underlying the Standard Formula. Where relevant, additional risks and assessment methodologies were included in the ORSA process, so that the final results reflect more closely the risk profile of Stellantis Insurance Europe Limited.

As part of the analysis, the Board arrived at an independent assessment of capital requirement for each individual risk. When this was comparable to the results from the Standard Formula, the Board took the value from the Standard Formula.

This applies to the following risks:

- Market risk: Spread, Concentration, Interest rate and Currency Risk
- Default Risk
- Non-Life Underwriting risks – Premium & Reserve, Lapse and Catastrophe Risk
- Health Underwriting risks – Premium & Reserve, Lapse and Catastrophe Risk
- Operational Risk

An independent assessment was carried out for other risks where the Board deemed the Standard Formula inadequately reflected the risk. The risks covered are:

- Strategic, Compliance and Operational Risk

When adjustments of parameters were not sufficient to properly reflect Stellantis Insurance Europe's risk profile, a scenario-based approach has been retained. This approach also applies to the Operational, Compliance and Strategic Risks faced by Stellantis Insurance Europe.

The classification of risks into high, medium and low was arrived at after discussion with the risk owners and the Board of Directors. The approach taken by the Company was both quantitative and qualitative in that at initial stages when identifying the risks, all risks have to be considered as neutral not to underestimate any particular risk which can evolve and become significant. The Board's approach was to consider the possible evolution of the risk.

- Underwriting risks – Premium, Reserve and Catastrophe risk

The Premium and Reserve risk relates to both the incurred claims and future claims. It is the risk of higher claim frequencies and claim sizes. The principal reason for this independent valuation is to be consistent with the overall 99.5% confidence level Value at Risk ('VaR') valuation, basing the Company's measure of insufficiency of reserves and future premium on the estimated parameters of the historical loss distribution.

Due to the nature of the Company's products Stellantis Insurance Europe is subject to very little Catastrophe Risk. Under the Standard Formula, the capital charge is extremely high as it is calculated as a percentage (40%) of the

premium to be earned in the following year. Therefore, the Board deemed that capturing the Catastrophe Risk using the CRESTA zone model renders a more accurate result.

C.7 Stress and Sensitivity testing

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd has performed stress and reverse stress tests to show the impact on SCR and SCR Cover by stressing the assumptions associated with the main capital charges. This section provides an indication of the resiliency of the Company's eligible capital to various stress scenarios which management believes should be put under stress. Stress test scenarios were chosen based on the highest impact to the capital of the Company. These scenarios were linked to the Risk Appetite Statement and approved by the Directors.

The following table shows the stress and reverse stress tests carried out followed by the action plans put in place in case each scenario materialises. Each action plan is associated with the Committee responsible.

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd			Target Risk Appetite (%)	2026 (Y1)	2027 (Y2)	2028 (Y3)
Base Scenario before Dividend Distribution before Stress Testing			110%	210%	241%	280%
Base Scenario before Dividend Distribution after Stress Tests						
No.						
1	Transfer Pricing: Commission increase to 50pp for Spain in all years	€8.6M increase over 3 years	110%	207%	236%	270%
2	Doubling of Early Termination Rate in Year 1	€45.6M decrease in revenue	110%	195%	234%	276%
3	Product Compliance: Reduce the price by 30% on new production and increase existing costs of claims by 50% in all years	€198.5M decrease in revenue, €50.1M increase in claims	110%	174%	181%	187%
4	Market risk: Reduction in market value of investments by 15% in Year 1	€27.4M from a total of €182.6M	110%	191%	241%	280%
5	CAT Risk: 10% decrease in market value of vehicles, keeping the sum insured payout consistent, which results in an increase in GAP in Year 1	Nat CAT increase from €30.2K to €11.3M	110%	209%	241%	280%
6	Cyber risk: GDPR fine €10M, Doubling of ETR and €2M increase in OPEX in Y1	€45.6M decrease in revenue, additional 12M expenses	110%	187%	227%	269%
Base Scenario before Dividend Distribution after Reverse Stress Test						
7	Drop in sales: Reduction in premium in all years	Reduction of €217.4M, 99.99% of revenue in all years	110%	116%	193%	240%
8	Product Compliance: Loss ratio increase in all years	From 14% to 63%, €137.3M in claim costs	110%	109%	159%	199%
Base Scenario before Dividend Distribution after Combined Stress Test						
9	OPEX increase by 10% Reduction of market value of investments by 15% Decrease of 10% in earned premium with loss ratio remaining the same Loss Ratio increase by 5pp All tests in Year 1	€5.7M to €6.3M €27.4M from a total of €182.6M €21.7M from a total of €217.5M €1.5M from a total of €30.3M	110%	149%	227%	266%
Base Scenario after Dividend Distribution without Stress Tests			110%	110%	110%	110%

Stress Test Result	Action Plan	Responsibility
<p>Reduction in premium in all years (Reverse Stress test)</p> <p>Company remains with a comfortable cover position</p>	<p>A monthly analysis is provided whereas actual volumes are compared to the Business plan. Any variances are investigated by car registrations, finance and insurance penetration in order to understand the reason for such deviation. These will be highlighted to management and when required a revised Business plan will be prepared including new scenarios. A drop in volumes will consequently result in lower premium. The ultimate effect would be lower profits generated by the Company.</p>	<p>Finance Department</p>
<p>Commission increase to 50pp in Spain in all years (Stress Test)</p> <p>Company remains with a comfortable cover position</p>	<p>If a global commission increase were to occur, the Board must take immediate strategic actions to improve the Solvency situation of the Company. The following actions may be taken:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cease business in a particular country if absolutely required. 2. Reconsider the viability of Stellantis Insurance Europe as a Maltese Company, reconsidering the re domiciliation of the Company if necessary 3. Increasing the premium to the end customer so that the technical result remains unchanged. 4. Implement actions to increase sales. 	<p>Board of Directors</p>
<p>Doubling of Early Termination Rate in Year 1 (Stress test)</p> <p>Company remains with a comfortable cover position</p>	<p>An ETR analysis is performed monthly whereas the actual ETR is compared to budget month by month by production year and type of product. Variances are then reported during committees.</p>	<p>Finance Department</p>
<p>Reduction in market value of investments by 15% in Year 1 (Stress test)</p> <p>Company remains with a comfortable cover position</p>	<p>This scenario is likely to happen due to the current economic situation impacted by the high-interest rate environment.</p> <p>The Company exercises a monthly set of controls to monitor the investment portfolio. In the event there is a material decrease in the market value of the investments a decision would be taken by the Investment Committee which could include the disposal of the investments impacted to limit the loss incurred.</p>	<p>Finance Department / Investment Committee</p>

<p>Reduce the price by 30% on new production and increase existing cost of claims by 50% in all years (Stress test)</p> <p>Company remains with a comfortable cover position</p> <p>Overall loss Ratio increase in all years (Reverse Stress test)</p> <p>Company falls below the target risk appetite</p>	<p>This scenario is extremely unlikely to happen. The Company exercises a monthly set of controls to ensure that the loss ratio per product does not exceed the Target Loss Ratio set for the year.</p> <p>When a product is underperforming, an in-depth analysis is carried out and a set of recommendations are made to the Technical Committee if changes to the product are necessary e.g., a price increase or a change to the underwriting conditions. This could be applied to new production as well as to the existing portfolio. Most of the portfolio is based on monthly premiums which provides the company opportunity to adjust quickly.</p>	<p>Technical Committee</p>
<p>Cyber-attack in Year 1 (Stress test)</p> <p>Company remains with a comfortable cover position</p>	<p>In case of a cyber-attack the Company needs to follow the reporting process stipulated by the MFSA. In addition, the incident will be analysed together with the IT team, DPO and Compliance teams.</p> <p>An external DPO was appointed to provide guidance to Compliance with regards to GDPR monitoring and controls. Moreover, additional controls imposed by the Group are also being followed.</p>	<p>Data Protection Officer</p>
<p>10% Decrease in market value of vehicles, keeping the sum insured payout consistent, which results in an increase in GAP in Year 1 (Stress test)</p> <p>Company remains with a comfortable cover position</p>	<p>In case this scenario occurs an immediate quantification of the cost will be carried out and the loss ratio monitoring will be performed. The GAP products, if needed, will then be revised in order to account for this loss.</p>	<p>Technical Committee</p>

D. Valuation for Solvency Purposes

Stellantis Insurance Europe presents below the information regarding the valuation of assets for Solvency II purposes including (for each material class of assets):

- A quantitative explanation of any material differences between the asset values for Solvency II purposes and those used for financial reporting bases.
- A description of the assets valuation bases, methods and main assumptions used for solvency purposes and those used for financial reporting in the statutory accounts.

D.1 Assets

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd Assets (EUR)	Current Accounting Bases	SII Valuation Principles
Investments	180,827,030	181,455,772
<i>Government and Multilateral Banks</i>	32,753,358	32,985,054
<i>Corporate</i>	118,812,322	119,209,368
<i>Deposits other than cash equivalents</i>	29,261,349	29,261,349
Insurance & Intermediaries Receivables	19,910,696	9,221,575
Cash & Cash Equivalents	25,457,476	25,457,476
Any Other Assets, Not Elsewhere Shown	33,988	33,988
Total assets	226,229,190	216,168,812

The difference between the IFRS and Solvency II valuation stems from the following:

Investments: Corporate and government bonds are valued under IFRS at amortised cost as per IFRS 9 whereas their market value is used for the Solvency II Balance Sheet.

Insurance & Intermediaries Receivables: For the purpose of Counterparty Default risk calculation, the commission payable directly related to the insurance and reinsurance receivables is netted off the insurance and reinsurance receivables. This adjustment is carried out in the Solvency II Balance Sheet. The concept is that no commission is payable if the insurance receivables are not settled. This treatment is also applied to the other receivables in the Solvency II Balance Sheet.

No further differences arise between the IFRS and Solvency II Balance Sheet.

D.2 Technical Provisions

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd Liabilities (EUR)	Current Accounting Bases	SII Valuation Principles
Gross Technical Provisions – Non-Life (Excluding Health)	15,702,403	(14,155,821)
<i>TP calculated as a whole (Best estimate + Risk margin)</i>	15,702,403	
<i>Best Estimate</i>		(21,723,485)
<i>Risk Margin</i>		7,567,664
Gross Technical Provisions - Health (Similar to Non-Life)	233,512	(383,343)
<i>TP calculated as a whole (Best estimate + Risk margin)</i>	233,512	
<i>Best Estimate</i>		(606,790)
<i>Risk margin</i>		223,447
Total technical provisions	15,935,915	(14,539,164)

Stellantis Insurance Europe covers four lines of business which are Miscellaneous Financial Loss, Marine, Aviation & Transport, Other Motor Insurance and Income Protection Insurance. The reserving methodology applied by the Company consists of the Premium Provision ('PP') and the Provision for Claims Outstanding ('PCO'). The ULRs and Events not in Data ('ENID') loadings used in the calculation of the technical provisions have changed when compared to the prior period. ULRs, which are revised at least quarterly throughout the year, were updated as per the latest review on 31st December 2025. ENID loadings, which were previously set at a flat 3% of future premium under the PP and 1% of the earned premium throughout the last two loss years under the PCO were updated as per the following table (application methodology of loadings remain unchanged):

ENID Group	Claims Provision	Premium Provision
GAP	0.3%	0.6%
Non GAP	0.3%	0.6%

The Company does not make use of the volatility adjustment referred to in Article 77d, the transitional risk-free interest rate-term structure referred to in Article 308c and the transitional deduction referred to in Article 308d of Directive 2009/138/EC.

The PP considers all the future cash flows within the legal contract boundary at individual policy level. The Company considers the future premiums as cash inflows whilst the future commissions, claims and expenses (3% of future premium) as cash outflows, all of which are discounted to present value. An ENID loading, as explained above, is also applied. The output of the PP is generated by the IFRS 17 tool which would be split by line of business.

The PCO is comprised of the best estimate Outstanding Loss Reserve ('OSLR') and Incurred but Not Reported ("IBNR") Reserve and is closely aligned to the calculation of the Liability for Incurred Claims ('LIC') under IFRS 17. An ENID loading, as explained above, is applied to the PCO. Note that this ENID loading is lower than the one of the PP since there is less uncertainty around the past than the future. Compared to IFRS17, the key difference, relating to expired risk, comes from the fact that the PCO is grouped at line of business level and entity instead of IFRS 17 cohort level.

The IFRS 17 tool takes into consideration the Risk-Free Interest Rates for the different currencies. These are then applied to the PP and PCO and as an output provides both the PP and PCO undiscounted and discounted split between the lines of business. The summation of the discounted PP and PCO results in the Best Estimate for the non-life company. The Risk Margin is calculated by determining the cost of providing an amount of eligible Own Funds equal to the SCR necessary to support the insurance obligations over the lifetime thereof. The Solvency II value for technical provisions, made up of Best Estimate and risk margin, as at 31st December 2025, amounts to -€383,343 for Income Protection Insurance, -€13,578,472 for Miscellaneous Financial Loss, -€367,905 for Marine, Aviation & Transport and -€209,444 for Other Motor Insurance.

The level of uncertainty associated with the technical provisions is mainly due to the underlying assumptions taken which include the Expense Ratio, ENID loading and the ULR. The Expense Ratio is close to what is booked in accounting however it remains an estimate. The ENID loading is also an estimate as the loading used is based on market data as well as assumptions taken based on the Company's historical experience. The main assumption taken is the estimate of the ULR. The ULR causes uncertainty due to the many factors which contribute to its estimation such as the pricing of each product, claim loss create delay, and the development of the OSLR.

According to the valuation in the financial statements, the Gross Technical Provisions amount to €15,935,915 for all lines of business. The Best Estimate (without risk margin) amounts to -€22,330,275. The difference between these values is due to IFRS 17 elements which are not applicable for solvency purposes such as the risk adjustment, loss component and contractual service margin.

The majority of the difference between both figures arises from the fact that under IFRS 17 the Contractual Service Margin ('CSM') is held as a liability while the future profit is considered differently under Solvency II. Additionally, there are slightly different assumptions used for the Expense Ratio projections and in the discount curves used. Lastly, since part of the IFRS 17 Liability for Remaining Coverage ('LRC') is based on the Premium Allocation Approach ('PAA') principles, the figures are not so directly comparable.

D.3 Other Liabilities

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd Liabilities (EUR)	Current Accounting Bases	SII Valuation Principles
Deferred Tax Liabilities	-	10,886,337
Insurance & intermediaries payables	22,317,182	11,628,061
Payables (trade, not insurance)	92,267,892	92,267,892
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	196,339	196,339
Total other liabilities	114,781,413	114,978,630

The differences between IFRS and Solvency II valuation for Liabilities arise from the following:

The Deferred Tax Liability arises due to differences in valuation principles between tax accounting basis and Solvency II basis. The following table illustrates how the Deferred Tax Liability was determined.

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd (EUR)	Amount	Total
Total Solvency II Assets	216,168,812	
Total Solvency II Liabilities	(89,553,129)	
SII Excess of Assets over Liabilities		126,615,683
Total IFRS Assets	226,229,190	
Total IFRS Liabilities	(130,717,328)	
IFRS Excess of Assets over Liabilities		95,511,862
Difference		31,103,821
Deferred Tax Liability at 35%		10,886,337

For the purpose of Counterparty Default risk calculation, the commission payable directly related to the insurance and reinsurance receivables is netted off the insurance and reinsurance receivables. This is explained under section D.1 Assets and as a result the value for Insurance & Intermediaries payables is lower than its value under IFRS.

No further differences arise between the IFRS Balance Sheet and the Solvency II Balance Sheet.

D.4 Any Other Information

No other material information regarding the valuation of assets and liabilities warrants disclosure.

E. Capital Management

All of this information is set out in the Capital Management Policy of the Company. Stellantis Insurance Europe must meet the following requirements:

- i.) Maintain a sufficient capital base which:
 - Meets the business strategy and risk appetite for capital, as set out in Stellantis' 'Risk Appetite Standard'; and
 - Complies with Solvency II regulatory requirements.
- ii.) Efficient Capital: Stellantis Insurance Europe must implement efficient use of capital as suited to the Company, consistent with the risk appetite as set out in Stellantis Insurance Europe's 'Risk Appetite Standard'.
- iii.) Reinsurance Strategy: Stellantis Insurance Europe must implement the most efficient reinsurance strategy suited to purpose and incorporate Solvency Fabric modelling when setting its reinsurance strategy.
- iv.) Consistency with System of Governance: Stellantis Insurance Europe must manage its capital consistent with the System of Governance, specifically Risk Management Framework.
- v.) Tier Capital and Own Funds: Stellantis Insurance Europe must make sure that they continuously hold sufficient eligible Own Funds to cover capital requirement.
- vi.) Monitoring of Capital Positions: The CEO must make sure that there is regular, timely and effective monitoring of capital positions so that capital efficiency and a sufficient capital base are maintained. The actual Capital Base, International Financial Reporting Standards ('IFRS') Equity, Solvency II Equity, SCR coverage ratio and return on key asset classes must be calculated and reviewed at least annually in line with ORSA Policy.

On a yearly basis, Stellantis Insurance Europe carries out a medium-term financial plan (3 years). Once finalised, the SCR projections are carried out to ensure that the capital held is sufficient. If throughout the year material changes in the business were to occur, the financial projections will be revised.

Stellantis Insurance Europe also takes into account in the capital management plan the output from the risk management system and the forward-looking assessment of the undertaking's own risks (based on the ORSA principles).

E.1 Own Funds

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd Basic Own Fund Items (EUR) Previous Reporting Period	Tier 1 - unrestricted	Tier 1 - restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
Ordinary share capital	2,700,000			
Reconciliation reserve	19,214,306			
<i>Excess of assets over liabilities</i>	114,726,168			
<i>Other basic own fund items</i>	95,511,862			
Other items approved by supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above	92,811,862			
Total Basic own funds	114,726,168	-	-	-

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd Basic Own Fund Items (EUR) Current Reporting Period	Tier 1 - unrestricted	Tier 1 - restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
Ordinary share capital	2,700,000			
Reconciliation reserve	20,217,483			
<i>Excess of assets over liabilities</i>	115,729,345			
<i>Other basic own fund items</i>	95,511,862			
Other items approved by supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above	92,811,862			
Total Basic own funds	115,729,345	-	-	-

The Own Funds of the Company are made up of Tier 1 unrestricted capital. This consists of the ordinary share capital, capital contribution and reconciliation reserve. There have been no changes in the structure of the Own Fund items from previous reporting period. The total Basic Own Funds increased slightly when compared to the previous reporting period due to an increase in the Reconciliation Reserve during the year.

Loss Absorbing Capacity of Deferred Taxes

The Company makes use of the adjustment available for the loss absorbing capacity of deferred taxes ('LACDT') to the SCR in both the Standard Formula and ORSA calculations in accordance with Article 108 of the Solvency II Directive and corresponding Delegated Acts. This adjustment reflects the potential compensation of unexpected losses through a simultaneous change in deferred taxes. Nevertheless, the Company should demonstrate that these deferred taxes are recoverable.

The adjustment reduces the SCR by 35%, the current tax rate applicable in Malta. The Company only takes into consideration this adjustment if it can demonstrate that it will generate sufficient future profits to compensate for the adjustment. For Stellantis Insurance Europe the deferred tax utilised will be recovered by the profits before tax generated during the term of the Business Plan. The amount recognised as deferred tax asset adjustment is net of any deferred tax recognised under the Balance Sheet as per IFRS and does not exceed the tax charge applicable to the profits to be generated by the Company in the next 3 years, from 2026 to 2028.

The following tables show the recoverability of the Loss absorbing capacity of Deferred Taxes utilised in the calculations:

BUSINESS PLAN			
Income Statement	2026	2027	2028
Profit before tax (PBT)	61,856,635	63,004,268	68,478,336
Income Tax at 35%	-21,649,822	-22,051,494	-23,967,418
Profit after tax	40,206,813	40,952,774	44,510,919

RECOVERABILITY		
	REALISTIC 0% haircut	PESSIMISTIC 10% haircut
Total PBT 2026-2028	193,339,239	174,005,315
Total tax 2026-2028	-67,668,734	-60,901,860
LAC DT utilised	-48,212,173	-48,212,173
In Months	26	28
% of DTA utilised	71%	79%

The table above shows two scenarios that were considered for the period 2026 to 2028.

Realistic Scenario

The first scenario is a **Realistic Scenario** and it considers 100% (0% haircut) of the profits before tax projected in the Business Plan. In this scenario, the deferred tax of €48,212,173 utilised in Base Year 2025 is recoverable in twenty-six months, i.e. within the three years of the Business Plan. In other words, the LACDT utilised constitutes 71% of total tax payable by the Company in the following three years, until 2028.

Pessimistic Scenario

The second scenario is a **Pessimistic Scenario** and it considers 90% (10% haircut) of the profits before tax projected in the Business Plan. In this scenario, the deferred tax of €48,212,173 utilised in Base Year 2025 is recoverable in twenty-eight months, i.e. within the three years of the Business Plan. In other words, the LACDT utilised constitutes 79% of total tax payable by the Company in the following three years, until 2028.


E.2 Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement

Solvency	Capital requirement	Eligible capital	Solvency ratio	MCR as % SCR
SCR	88,606,942	115,729,345	130.6%	27.2%
MCR	24,068,519	115,729,345	480.8%	

Composition	Available capital	Eligible capital for SCR	Shortfall SCR	Eligible MCR	Shortfall MCR
Tier 1 unrestricted	115,729,345	115,729,345	0	115,729,345	0
Tier 1 restricted	0	0		0	
Tier 2 basic	0	0		0	
Tier 2 ancillary	0	0			
Tier 3	0	0			
Tier 3 ancillary	0	0			

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd Basic Own Fund Items (EUR)	Current Accounting Bases	SII Valuation Principles
Ordinary share capital	2,700,000	2,700,000
Reconciliation reserve		20,217,483
<i>Excess of assets over liabilities</i>		115,729,345
<i>Other basic own fund items</i>		95,511,862
Other items approved by supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above	92,811,862	92,811,862
Total Basic own funds	95,511,862	115,729,345

The Excess of assets over liabilities for Solvency II valuation purposes is higher than the equity as per financial statements (€115,729,345 vs €95,511,862) due to the differences between the Solvency II and IFRS Balance Sheet as explained previously.



The main driver of the SCR is the Non-Life Underwriting Risk which consists of the Premium & Reserve, Lapse and Catastrophe risks. The Premium & Reserve risk is driven by the premium and reserves volume whereas the Lapse risk is driven by the Premium Provision. Catastrophe risk is driven by the gross earned premium in the following 12 months for the Miscellaneous Financial Loss line of business.

The Company uses Simplification Method 2 for the calculation of the risk margin as per Guideline 62 – ‘Hierarchy of methods for the calculation of the risk margin’ forming part of the ‘Guidelines on the valuation of technical provisions’ issued by EIOPA. This has an effect on the value of Own Funds and no direct effect on any risk module or sub-module.

Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR)					
MCR		24,068,519			
Total MCR NL		24,068,519			
Cap		39,873,124			
Floor		22,151,735			
		Parameters			
		Cap	45%		
		Floor	25%		
		AMCR	2,700,000		
Line of Business	Net Technical Provisions	Net Premium Written	Parameters		MCR NL
			α	β	
Medical Expense	0	0	5%	5%	0
Income Protection	0	6,965,209	13%	9%	592,043
Workers' Compensation	0	0	11%	8%	0
Motor Vehicle Liability	0	0	9%	9%	0
Other Motor	0	0	8%	8%	0
Marine, Aviation & Transport	0	224,047	10%	14%	31,367
Fire & Other Damage to Property	0	0	9%	8%	0
General liability insurance	0	0	10%	13%	0
Credit & Suretyship	0	0	18%	11%	0
Legal Expenses	0	0	11%	7%	0
Assistance	0	0	19%	9%	0
Miscellaneous Financial Loss	0	192,173,031	19%	12%	23,445,110
NPR - Health	0	0	19%	16%	0
NPR - Property	0	0	19%	16%	0
NPR - Casualty	0	0	19%	16%	0
NPR - Marine, Aviation & Transport	0	0	19%	16%	0

There were no instances of non-compliance with the MCR or SCR throughout the reporting period.

Movements in SCR during 2025

Stellantis Insurance Europe Ltd		Dec-24 Actual €(000)	Dec-25 Actual €(000)
SOLVENCY CAPITAL REQUIREMENT COVER		135%	131%
SOLVENCY II ELIGIBLE CAPITAL		114,726	115,729
SOLVENCY CAPITAL REQUIREMENT		84,867	88,607
MINIMUM CAPITAL REQUIREMENT		22,753	24,069
LOSS ABSORBING CAPACITY OF DEFERRED TAX		(45,697)	(47,711)
SOLVENCY CAPITAL REQUIREMENT BEFORE LAC DT		130,564	136,318
OPERATIONAL RISK		5,507	5,836
BASIC SOLVENCY CAPITAL REQUIREMENT		125,057	130,482
DIVERSIFICATION CREDIT		(11,975)	(9,042)
BASIC SOLVENCY CAPITAL REQUIREMENT PRE-DIV		137,032	139,524
SUB CATEGORIES			
NON-LIFE UNDERWRITING RISK	Premium and Reserve Risk	73,275	77,332
	Lapse Risk	14,220	14,466
	Catastrophe Risk	76,507	81,831
	SCRnl Pre-Div	164,002	173,629
	SCRnl Div Credit	(44,722)	(46,941)
	SCRnl Post Div	119,280	126,688
HEALTH UNDERWRITING RISK	Premium and Reserve Risk	1,829	2,211
	Lapse Risk	335	974
	SCRnslhealth Pre-Div	2,164	3,185
	SCRnslhealth Div Credit	(305)	(769)
	SCRnslhealth Post Div	1,859	2,416
	Catastrophe Risk	12	32
	SCRhealth Pre-Div	1,871	2,449
	SCRhealth Div Credit	(9)	(24)
SCRhealth Post Div	1,862	2,424	
MARKET RISK	Interest Rate Risk	4,693	2,597
	Equity Risk	0	0
	Property Risk	0	0
	Spread Risk	5,902	3,405
	Currency Risk	3,233	2,414
	Concentration Risk	6,674	3,928
	SCRmkt Pre-Div	20,501	12,344
	SCRmkt Div Credit	(9,144)	(5,500)
	SCRmkt Post Div	11,356	6,844
COUNTERPARTY DEFAULT RISK	Type 1 Exposures	3,430	2,277
	Type 2 Exposures	1,352	1,526
	SCRdef Pre-Div	4,782	3,803
	SCRdef Div Credit	(249)	(236)
	SCRdef Post Div	4,533	3,567

Both the SCR and MCR increased during the reporting period ended 31st December 2025.

The SCR increased mainly due to the considerable increase in the Non-Life Underwriting Risk. The sub-modules driving this increase are the Premium & Reserve and the Catastrophe Risks. In the Premium & Reserve Risk, there was an increase in both the premium and reserves volume whereas in the Catastrophe Risk the increase was due to the increase in the gross earned premium for the following twelve months for the Miscellaneous Financial Loss line of business.

The increase in MCR is also due to the increase in premium volume during the year.

The SCR Cover, despite decreasing from previous year, is within the risk appetite limit of the Company. The decrease in Cover is due to the increase in SCR at a higher rate than the increase in eligible capital.

E.3 Any Other Information

No other material information regarding capital management warrants disclosure.

Appendix 1: List of Quantitative Reporting Templates (QRTs) for Public Disclosure

The following table lists down the QRTs that require to be publicly disclosed as applicable to the Company:

TEMPLATE REFERENCE	TEMPLATE DESCRIPTION
S.02.01.02	Balance Sheet
S.04.05.01	Information on premiums, claims and expenses by country
S.05.01.02	Information on premiums, claims and expenses
S.17.01.02	Specifying information on non-life technical provisions
S.19.01.21	Specifying information on non-life insurance claims in the format of development triangles
S.23.01.01	Information on Own Funds
S.25.01.21	Information on the Solvency Capital Requirement calculated using the Standard Formula
S.28.01.01	The Minimum Capital Requirement for insurance and reinsurance undertakings engaged in only life or only non-life insurance or reinsurance activity

SE.02.01.16.01 - Balance sheet

		Solvency II value	Statutory accounts value	Reclassification adjustments	
		C0010	C0020	EC0021	
Assets	Goodwill	R0010			
	Deferred acquisition costs	R0020			
	Intangible assets	R0030			
	Deferred tax assets	R0040			
	Pension benefit surplus	R0050			
	Property, plant & equipment held for own use	R0060			
	Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)	R0070	181,455,772	180,827,030	
	Property (other than for own use)	R0080			
	Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	R0090			
	Equities	R0100			
	Equities - listed	R0110			
	Equities - unlisted	R0120			
	Bonds	R0130	152,194,423	151,565,681	
	Government Bonds	R0140	32,985,054	32,753,358	
	Corporate Bonds	R0150	119,209,368	118,812,322	
	Structured notes	R0160			
	Collateralised securities	R0170			
	Collective Investments Undertakings	R0180			
	Derivatives	R0190			
	Deposits other than cash equivalents	R0200	29,261,349	29,261,349	
	Other investments	R0210			
	Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts	R0220			
	Loans and mortgages	R0230			
	Loans on policies	R0240			
	Loans and mortgages to individuals	R0250			
	Other loans and mortgages	R0260			
	Reinsurance recoverables from:	R0270			
	Non-life and health similar to non-life	R0280			
	Non-life excluding health	R0290			
	Health similar to non-life	R0300			
	Life and health similar to life, excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0310			
	Health similar to life	R0320			
	Life excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0330			
	Life index-linked and unit-linked	R0340			
	Deposits to cedants	R0350			
	Insurance and intermediaries receivables	R0360	9,221,575	19,910,696	
	Reinsurance receivables	R0370	0	0	
	Receivables (trade, not insurance)	R0380	0	0	
	Own shares (held directly)	R0390			
	Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in	R0400			
	Cash and cash equivalents	R0410	25,457,476	25,457,476	
	Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	R0420	33,988	33,988	
	Total assets	R0500	216,168,812	226,229,190	
Liabilities	Technical provisions - non-life	R0510	(14,539,164)	15,935,915	
	Technical provisions - non-life (excluding health)	R0520	(14,155,821)	15,702,403	
	Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0530			
	Best Estimate	R0540	(21,723,485)		
	Risk margin	R0550	7,567,664		
	Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)	R0560	(383,343)	233,512	
	Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0570			
	Best Estimate	R0580	(606,790)		
	Risk margin	R0590	223,447		
	Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)	R0600			
	Technical provisions - health (similar to life)	R0610			
	Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0620			
	Best Estimate	R0630			
	Risk margin	R0640			
	Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)	R0650			
	Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0660			
	Best Estimate	R0670			
	Risk margin	R0680			
	Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linked	R0690			
	Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0700			
	Best Estimate	R0710			
	Risk margin	R0720			
	Other technical provisions	R0730			
	Contingent liabilities	R0740			
	Provisions other than technical provisions	R0750			
	Pension benefit obligations	R0760			
	Deposits from reinsurers	R0770			
	Deferred tax liabilities	R0780	10,886,337	0	
	Derivatives	R0790			
	Debts owed to credit institutions	R0800			
	Debts owed to credit institutions resident domestically	ER0801			
	Debts owed to credit institutions resident in the euro area other than domestic	ER0802			
	Debts owed to credit institutions resident in rest of the world	ER0803			
	Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	R0810			
	Debts owed to non-credit institutions	ER0811			
	Debts owed to non-credit institutions resident domestically	ER0812			
	Debts owed to non-credit institutions resident in the euro area other than domestic	ER0813			
	Debts owed to non-credit institutions resident in rest of the world	ER0814			
	Other financial liabilities (debt securities issued)	ER0815			
	Insurance & intermediaries payables	R0820	11,628,061	22,317,182	
	Reinsurance payables	R0830	0	0	
	Payables (trade, not insurance)	R0840	92,267,892	92,267,892	
	Subordinated liabilities	R0850			
Non-negotiable instruments held by credit institutions resident domestically	ER0851				
Non-negotiable instruments held by credit institutions resident in the euro area other than domestic	ER0852				
Non-negotiable instruments held by credit institutions resident in rest of the world	ER0853				
Non-negotiable instruments held by non-credit institutions resident domestically	ER0854				
Non-negotiable instruments held by non-credit institutions resident in the euro area other than domestic	ER0855				
Non-negotiable instruments held by non-credit institutions resident in rest of the world	ER0856				
Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own Funds	R0860				
Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own Funds	R0870				
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	R0880	196,339	196,339		
Total liabilities	R0900	100,439,466	130,717,328		
Excess of assets over liabilities	R1000	115,729,345	95,511,862		

S.04.05.01.02 - Activity by country - location of risk

Z0001 Z Axis., LEI/549300BH1ZNN1O2B2T19, Income protection insurance [direct business]

		Total by country						
		AUSTRIA	BELGIUM	FRANCE	GERMANY	ITALY	POLAND	SPAIN
		C0020_15	C0020_22	C0020_76	C0020_83	C0020_109	C0020_177	C0020_208
Premiums written (gross)	R0020	50,415	1,038,034	3,256,189	267,339	1,430,429	14,204	908,599
Premiums earned (gross)	R0030	52,759	1,026,210	3,195,099	274,924	1,295,382	147,983	805,896
Claims incurred (gross)	R0040	(168)	70,691	1,611,312	69,978	83,563	22,547	108,239
Expenses incurred (gross)	R0050	14,553	301,162	1,837,770	81,621	609,491	52,638	331,813

S.04.05.01.02 - Activity by country - location of risk

Z0001 Z Axis:, LEI/549300BH1ZNN1O2B2T19, Marine, aviation and transport insurance [direct business]

Total by country		
FRANCE	POLAND	SPAIN
C0020_76	C0020_177	C0020_208

Premiums written (gross)	R0020	202,654	1,131	20,262
Premiums earned (gross)	R0030	191,457	1,063	19,902
Claims incurred (gross)	R0040	17,485	(1)	618
Expenses incurred (gross)	R0050	109,293	332	7,217

S.04.05.01.02 - Activity by country - location of risk

Z0001 Z Axis:, LEI/549300BH1ZNN1O2B2T19, Miscellaneous financial loss [accepted proportional reinsurance]

Total by country	
PORTUGAL	UNITED KINGDOM
C0020_178	C0020_234

Premiums written (gross)	R0020	(9,006)	(55,187)
Premiums earned (gross)	R0030	(35,255)	1,277,721
Claims incurred (gross)	R0040	(5,837)	415,293
Expenses incurred (gross)	R0050	19,209	424,529

S.04.05.01.02 - Activity by country - location of risk

Z0001 Z Axis:, LEI/549300BH1ZNN1O2B2T19, Miscellaneous financial loss [direct business]

		Total by country									
		AUSTRIA	BELGIUM	FRANCE	GERMANY	ITALY	LUXEMBOURG	POLAND	PORTUGAL	SPAIN	UNITED KINGDOM
		C0020_15	C0020_22	C0020_76	C0020_83	C0020_109	C0020_130	C0020_177	C0020_178	C0020_208	C0020_234
Premiums written (gross)	R0020	418,719	1,727,158	153,143,747	3,357,241	13,677,551	153,266	8,852,806	929,841	9,974,334	2,561
Premiums earned (gross)	R0030	438,592	1,713,870	149,722,289	3,506,967	13,373,916	153,056	6,625,177	510,021	9,785,245	13,595
Claims incurred (gross)	R0040	68,637	102,964	29,150,937	700,788	1,655,606	13,050	552,601	25,731	496,413	2,206
Expenses incurred (gross)	R0050	130,849	515,414	90,161,190	1,120,529	6,865,802	46,061	2,027,643	305,970	3,447,955	6,085

S.04.05.01.02 - Activity by country - location of risk

Z0001 Z Axis:, LEI/549300BH1ZNN1O2B2T19, Other motor insurance [accepted proportional reinsurance]

Total by country
UNITED KINGDOM
C0020_234

Premiums written (gross)	R0020	(14,508)
Premiums earned (gross)	R0030	443,387
Claims incurred (gross)	R0040	106,154
Expenses incurred (gross)	R0050	196,383

S.05.01.01.01 - Non-Life (direct business/accepted proportional reinsurance and accepted non-proportional reinsurance)

Z0001 Z Axis:

		Line of Business for: non-life insurance and reinsurance obligations (direct business)				Total		
		Income protection insurance	Other motor insurance	Marine, aviation and transport insurance	Miscellaneous financial loss			
		C0020	C0050	C0060	C0120	C0200		
Premiums written	Gross - Direct Business	R0110	6,965,209		224,047	192,237,224	199,426,481	
	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0120		(14,508)		(64,193)	(78,701)	
	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0130						
	Reinsurers' share	R0140						
	Net	R0200	6,965,209	(14,508)	224,047	192,173,031	199,347,780	
Premiums earned	Gross - Direct Business	R0210	6,798,253		212,423	185,842,727	192,853,403	
	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0220		443,387		1,242,467	1,685,854	
	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0230						
	Reinsurers' share	R0240						
	Net	R0300	6,798,253	443,387	212,423	187,085,194	194,539,257	
Claims incurred	Gross - Direct Business	R0310	1,966,163		18,101	32,768,933	34,753,197	
	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0320		106,154		409,456	515,609	
	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0330						
	Reinsurers' share	R0340						
	Net	R0400	1,966,163	106,154	18,101	33,178,389	35,268,807	
Expenses incurred		R0550	3,229,048	196,383	116,841	105,071,236	108,613,508	
	Administrative expenses	Gross - Direct Business	R0610					
		Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0620					
		Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0630					
Reinsurers' share		R0640						
	Net	R0700						
Investment management expenses	Gross - Direct Business	R0710						
	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0720						
	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0730						
	Reinsurers' share	R0740						
	Net	R0800						
Claims management expenses	Gross - Direct Business	R0810						
	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0820						
	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0830						
	Reinsurers' share	R0840						
	Net	R0900						
Acquisition expenses	Gross - Direct Business	R0910	3,229,048		116,841	104,627,498	107,973,387	
	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0920		196,383		443,738	640,121	
	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0930						
	Reinsurers' share	R0940						
	Net	R1000	3,229,048	196,383	116,841	105,071,236	108,613,508	
Overhead expenses	Gross - Direct Business	R1010						
	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R1020						
	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R1030						
	Reinsurers' share	R1040						
	Net	R1100						
Balance - other technical expenses/income		R1210						
Total technical expenses		R1300					108,613,508	

S.17.01.01.01 - Non-Life Technical Provisions

		Direct business and accepted proportional reinsurance				Total Non-Life obligation C0180				
		Income protection insurance C0030	Other motor insurance C0060	Marine, aviation and transport insurance C0070	Miscellaneous financial loss C0130					
Technical provisions calculated as a whole		R0010								
Direct business		R0020								
Accepted proportional reinsurance business		R0030								
Accepted non-proportional reinsurance		R0040								
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP calculated as a whole		R0050								
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM	Best estimate	Premium provisions	Gross - Total		R0060	(2,510,306)	(201,441)	(396,029)	(36,303,361)	(39,411,138)
		Gross - direct business		R0070	(2,510,306)		(396,029)	(35,180,646)	(38,086,981)	
		Gross - accepted proportional reinsurance business		R0080		(201,441)		(1,122,715)	(1,324,157)	
		Gross - accepted non-proportional reinsurance business		R0090						
		Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re before the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default		R0100						
		Recoverables from reinsurance (except SPV and Finite Reinsurance) before adjustment for expected losses		R0110						
		Recoverables from SPV before adjustment for expected losses		R0120						
		Recoverables from Finite Reinsurance before adjustment for expected losses		R0130						
		Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default		R0140						
		Net Best Estimate of Premium Provisions		R0150	(2,510,306)	(201,441)	(396,029)	(36,303,361)	(39,411,138)	
	Claims provisions	Gross - Total		R0160	1,903,516	(19,352)	18,559	15,178,138	17,080,862	
		Gross - direct business		R0170	1,903,516		18,559	15,088,950	17,011,025	
		Gross - accepted proportional reinsurance business		R0180		(19,352)		89,189	69,837	
		Gross - accepted non-proportional reinsurance business		R0190						
		Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re before the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default		R0200						
		Recoverables from reinsurance (except SPV and Finite Reinsurance) before adjustment for expected losses		R0210						
		Recoverables from SPV before adjustment for expected losses		R0220						
		Recoverables from Finite Reinsurance before adjustment for expected losses		R0230						
		Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default		R0240						
		Net Best Estimate of Claims Provisions		R0250	1,903,516	(19,352)	18,559	15,178,138	17,080,862	
Total Best estimate - gross		R0260	(606,790)	(220,793)	(377,469)	(21,125,223)	(22,330,275)			
Total Best estimate - net		R0270	(606,790)	(220,793)	(377,469)	(21,125,223)	(22,330,275)			
Risk margin		R0280	223,447	11,349	9,565	7,546,751	7,791,112			
Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions		TP as a whole								
Best estimate		R0300								
Risk margin		R0310								
Technical provisions - total		Technical provisions - total	R0320	(383,343)	(209,444)	(367,905)	(13,578,472)	(14,539,164)		
Recoverable from reinsurance contract/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default - total		R0330								
Technical provisions minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total		R0340	(383,343)	(209,444)	(367,905)	(13,578,472)	(14,539,164)			
Line of Business: further segmentation (Homogeneous Risk Groups)		Premium provisions - Total number of homogeneous risk groups	R0350							
Claims provisions - Total number of homogeneous risk groups		R0360								
Cash-flows of the Best estimate of Premium Provisions (Gross)	Cash out-flows	Future benefits and claims	R0370							
		Future expenses and other cash-out flows	R0380							
	Cash in-flows	Future premiums	R0390							
		Other cash-in flows (incl. Recoverable from salvages and subrogations)	R0400							
Cash-flows of the Best estimate of Claims Provisions (Gross)	Cash out-flows	Future benefits and claims	R0410							
		Future expenses and other cash-out flows	R0420							
	Cash in-flows	Future premiums	R0430							
		Other cash-in flows (incl. Recoverable from salvages and subrogations)	R0440							
Percentage of gross Best Estimate calculated using approximations		R0450								
Best estimate subject to transitional of the interest rate		R0460								
Technical provisions without transitional on interest rate		R0470								
Best estimate subject to volatility adjustment		R0480								
Technical provisions without volatility adjustment and without others transitional measures		R0490								
Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP)		R0500	2,488,885	201,544	388,285	37,864,515	40,943,229			

S.23.01.01.01 - Own funds

Z0001 Z Axis:

			Total	Tier 1 - unrestricted	Tier 1 - restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
			C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050
Basic own funds before deduction for participations in other financial sector as foreseen in article 68 of Delegated Regulation 2015/35	Ordinary share capital (gross of own shares)	R0010	2,700,000	2,700,000			
	Share premium account related to ordinary share capital	R0030					
	Initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own - fund item for mutual and mutual-type undertakings	R0040					
	Subordinated mutual member accounts	R0050					
	Surplus funds	R0070					
	Preference shares	R0090					
	Share premium account related to preference shares	R0110					
	Reconciliation reserve	R0130	20,217,483	20,217,483			
	Subordinated liabilities	R0140					
	An amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets	R0160	0				0
Other own fund items approved by the supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above	R0180	92,811,862	92,811,862				
Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds	R0220						
Deductions	Deductions for participations in financial and credit institutions	R0230					
Total basic own funds after deductions		R0290	115,729,345	115,729,345			0
Ancillary own funds	Unpaid and uncalled ordinary share capital callable on demand	R0300					
	Unpaid and uncalled initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own fund item for mutual and mutual - type undertakings, callable on demand	R0310					
	Unpaid and uncalled preference shares callable on demand	R0320					
	A legally binding commitment to subscribe and pay for subordinated liabilities on demand	R0330					
	Letters of credit and guarantees under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0340					
	Letters of credit and guarantees other than under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0350					
	Supplementary members calls under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0360					
	Supplementary members calls - other than under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0370					
	Other ancillary own funds	R0390					
	Total ancillary own funds		R0400				
Available and eligible own funds	Total available own funds to meet the SCR	R0500	115,729,345	115,729,345			0
	Total available own funds to meet the MCR	R0510	115,729,345	115,729,345			
	Total eligible own funds to meet the SCR	R0540	115,729,345	115,729,345			0
	Total eligible own funds to meet the MCR	R0550	115,729,345	115,729,345			
SCR		R0580	88,606,942				
MCR		R0600	24,068,519				
Ratio of Eligible own funds to SCR		R0620	131%				
Ratio of Eligible own funds to MCR		R0640	481%				

S.23.01.01.02 - Reconciliation reserve

Z0001 Z Axis:

			Value
			C0060
Reconciliation reserve	Excess of assets over liabilities	R0700	115,729,345
	Own shares (held directly and indirectly)	R0710	
	Foreseeable dividends, distributions and charges	R0720	
	Other basic own fund items	R0730	95,511,862
	Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of matching adjustment portfolios and ring fenced funds	R0740	
Reconciliation reserve		R0760	20,217,483
Expected profits	Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Life business	R0770	0
	Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Non-life business	R0780	40,943,229
Total Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP)		R0790	40,943,229

S.25.01.01.01 - Basic Solvency Capital Requirement

Z0001 Z Axis:, No

Net solvency capital requirement	Gross solvency capital requirement	Allocation from adjustments due to RFF and Matching adjustments portfolios
C0030	C0040	C0050

Market risk	R0010	6,844,072	6,844,072	
Counterparty default risk	R0020	3,567,018	3,567,018	
Life underwriting risk	R0030	0	0	
Health underwriting risk	R0040	2,424,395	2,424,395	
Non-life underwriting risk	R0050	126,688,211	126,688,211	
Diversification	R0060	(9,041,502)	(9,041,502)	
Intangible asset risk	R0070	0	0	
Basic Solvency Capital Requirement	R0100	130,482,194	130,482,194	

S.28.01.01.05 - Overall MCR calculation

Z0001 Z Axis:

Value
C0070

Linear MCR	R0300	24,068,519
SCR	R0310	88,606,942
MCR cap	R0320	39,873,124
MCR floor	R0330	22,151,735
Combined MCR	R0340	24,068,519
Absolute floor of the MCR	R0350	2,700,000
Minimum Capital Requirement	R0400	24,068,519